EPIDEMIOLOGY

Community factors associated with local epidemic timing of respiratory syncytial virus: A spatiotemporal modeling study

Zhe Zheng¹*, Virginia E. Pitzer¹, Joshua L. Warren², Daniel M. Weinberger¹

Respiratory syncytial virus (RSV) causes a large burden of morbidity in young children and the elderly. Spatial variability in the timing of RSV epidemics provides an opportunity to probe the factors driving its transmission, including factors that influence epidemic seeding and growth rates. Using hospitalization data from Connecticut, New Jersey, and New York, we estimated epidemic timing at the ZIP code level using harmonic regression and then used a Bayesian meta-regression model to evaluate correlates of epidemic timing. Earlier epidemics were associated with larger household size and greater population density. Nearby localities had similar epidemic timing. Our results suggest that RSV epidemics grow faster in areas with more local contact opportunities, and that epidemic spread follows a spatial diffusion process based on geographic proximity. Our findings can inform the timing of delivery of RSV extended half-life prophylaxis and maternal vaccines and guide future studies on the transmission dynamics of RSV.

INTRODUCTION

Respiratory syncytial virus (RSV) causes more than 2 million outpatient visits annually among children in the United States (1). It is one of the leading causes of hospitalization for lower respiratory infection among young children and the elderly (2, 3). Developing an effective vaccine against RSV is a high priority, as prophylaxis against RSV is prohibitively expensive and complicated to administer (4–7). The timing of the delivery of maternal RSV vaccines and extended half-life prophylaxis relies heavily on the timing of seasonal RSV epidemics. Understanding the community factors associated with the seasonality of RSV can help to optimize implementation of extended-life prophylaxis and maternal vaccines in the near future (8).

The timing of RSV epidemics varies markedly over space, both over large geographic scales and within a region (9, 10). Across the United States, epidemics start earliest in the southeast and later spread to the north and west (11). Environmental and climatic drivers affect the timing of the seasonal epidemic by providing a favorable environment for the virus and by affecting host immune defense and/or behavior (e.g., indoor gatherings in the cold or rainy season) (12–14). However, while environmental factors can explain some of the broad spatial differences in the timing of RSV epidemics, they cannot fully explain finer-scale spatial variations (12, 15). At smaller spatial scales, epidemics tend to start earlier and last longer in urban areas than in surrounding suburbs and rural areas (7).

A number of factors can influence the timing of an RSV epidemic in a community. Epidemic timing is broadly determined by the growth rate of the epidemic and, if the virus does not persist year round, by when the virus is reintroduced into the community ("epidemic seeding") (fig. S1). Epidemic growth rates affect the shape of the epidemic curve, while epidemic seeding influences when the epidemic starts. Growth rates can be influenced by a number of demographic factors, such as the population age distribution,

*Corresponding author. Email: zhe.zheng@yale.edu

Copyright © 2021 The Authors, some rights reserved; exclusive licensee American Association for the Advancement of Science. No claim to original U.S. Government Works. Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution NonCommercial License 4.0 (CC BY-NC).

susceptibility, and contact rates (fig. S1) (16). Contact rates are linked to factors like household size and population density (10, 17). Susceptibility to infection is linked to socioeconomic status (SES) (10, 17). Less is known about the factors that drive epidemic seeding for RSV. Research on other respiratory viruses has suggested that virus importation from surrounding areas, importation from other regions (e.g., transmission through long-distance air travel or commuting patterns), and transmission within and between schools may play a role in epidemic seeding (18–21).

In this study, we developed a Bayesian statistical model to probe the association between the timing of seasonal epidemics of RSV and potential explanatory factors that influence epidemic growth rates and seeding, including area-based measures of human mobility, demographic variables, geographic proximity, and school districts. The tri-state area that includes New York, New Jersey, and Connecticut is ideal to study the fine-scale spatial variation in RSV epidemics because of the demographic diversity, high volume of population movement, and relatively similar climate across the region. This area includes New York City, the most populous city in the United States, as well as rural areas like the North Country region of New York state and Litchfield County in Connecticut. In addition, variation in community factors exists at small spatial scales (22). The strong commuting ties between New York City and surrounding areas offer valuable opportunities to compare the impacts of commuting flows and geographic distance on RSV epidemic patterns. This study aims to gain a better understanding of the factors influencing local epidemic timing.

RESULTS

Characteristics of the model and data

RSV-specific hospitalization data for children <2 years were obtained from State Inpatient Databases from the Connecticut Department of Public Health (CT-DPH) and the Healthcare Cost and Utilization Project maintained by the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (23). In total, the databases captured 67,244 RSV hospitalizations across 2612 ZIP codes in New York, New Jersey, and Connecticut. The number of hospitalizations, commuters, and the

¹Department of Epidemiology of Microbial Diseases and the Public Health Modeling Unit, Yale School of Public Health, New Haven, CT 06520, USA. ²Department of Biostatistics and the Public Health Modeling Unit, Yale School of Public Health, New Haven, CT 06520, USA.

length of study period varied among states (Table 1), as did the sociodemographic characteristics (table S1 and figs. S2 and S3).

Spatiotemporal pattern of RSV epidemics

RSV activity begins between late fall and early winter in the tri-state area (Fig. 1). The epidemics peaked between late winter and spring (Fig. 1). The estimated peak timing among ZIP codes ranged from late December to mid-March based on the best-fit model (Fig. 2). Visually, the local epidemics peaked earliest in urban areas (e.g., the New York metropolitan area) and then extended to less populous areas like upstate New York and eastern Connecticut. Epidemic peaks were generally earlier in New Jersey compared to the other states.

Major correlates of local variation in RSV epidemic timing

We fit statistical models that included several demographic covariates while also characterizing the structure of the unexplained variability in the data (see Materials and Methods). Earlier epidemic timing was associated with higher population density in all three states (Table 2). There was an approximately 1.5- to 2.1-week difference in epidemic timing between the top and bottom deciles of population density. There was also an association between earlier epidemic timing and larger average household size in Connecticut and New York; the difference in epidemic timing between the bottom and top deciles of average household size was 0.7 to 1.3 weeks. In New Jersey, ZIP codes with higher income were associated with earlier epidemics. There was no association between the total number of people in the ZIP code and epidemic timing.

In all three states, there was substantial residual variability in the data after adjusting for the covariates. The covariates captured 41, 30, and 51% of the variability in New Jersey, New York, and Connecticut, respectively. The best-fitting model assumed that the residual variation was correlated on the basis of geographic proximity. Alternative models that assumed that the residual variation was related to commuter connectivity or that assumed no spatial structure fit less well (Table 3). In Connecticut, the geographic proximity model only fit marginally better than the commuting model. The residual variability in the geographic proximity model was further partitioned into variation based on geographic adjacency and variability based on school district boundaries. Geographic adjacency explained 89, 94, and 69% of the total residual variability in New Jersey, New York, and Connecticut, respectively. School district effects contributed relatively little to the variations in epidemic timing (Table 4). The proportion of variability explained by population density, household size, school districts, and adjacency varied between

states; however, their relative importance was consistent across states (Tables 2 and 4).

DISCUSSION

Understanding the timing of RSV epidemics is essential for certain interventions against RSV, including the administration of prophylactic antibodies and for assessing when to immunize pregnant women. We sought to better understand the underlying community factors associated with the timing of RSV epidemics. We find that the timing of RSV epidemics is highly spatially structured and demonstrate considerable variation, beginning in large urban areas and radially spreading to rural places over a 2.7-month period in the tri-state area. Epidemics generally peaked earlier in ZIP codes with higher population density and larger average household size, and epidemic timing in one location was correlated with timing in neighboring areas.

The covariates that were most strongly associated with earlier epidemic timing (population density and household size) could be related to the frequency of contact opportunities that lead to transmission (fig. S1). Frequent contacts lead to rapid viral spread and epidemic growth, consistent with a positive correlation between population density and estimated RSV transmission rates for different U.S. states (12). The strong connectivity between neighboring areas could reflect epidemic seeding (fig. S1). Having stronger connections between proximate communities could provide more opportunities for introduction of the virus, eventually forming successful local chains of infection (24). This is supported by the localized and radially diffusive epidemic pattern. Other factors, such as gathering within schools and commuter flows, might also contribute to RSV transmission, but our analyses suggest that these are not the major drivers of the observed spatial patterns in this population.

By mid-January, the tri-state area is usually well into its annual RSV season, but so far in late January 2021, the level of RSV activity remains low (25). The positivity rate of RSV detection in this area has declined since nonpharmaceutical interventions to mitigate the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic, such as travel bans, mask wearing, and social distancing, were introduced in March 2020 (25). The measures taken to slow COVID-19 transmission are likely also effective in controlling RSV epidemics. Studies in Western Australia found that RSV in children dropped 98% through their winter of 2020, although schools were open (26). This natural experiment suggests that gathering within schools may not be the major driver of RSV epidemics (or at least is not sufficient in light of other measures).

Table 1 Number of hospitalizations 7IP codes	school districts commuters	and study period in New I	ersey New York and Connecticut
Table 1. Number of hospitalizations, zir codes	, school aistricts, commuters	, and study period in New J	ersey, new rork, and connecticut.

	New Jersey	New York	Connecticut
RSV Hospitalizations	19,708	38,376	9,160
ZIP codes	592	1,745	275
School districts*	337	934	156
Commuters	4,295,718	8,689,118	1,725,973
Study period	July 2005 to June 2014	July 2005 to June 2014	July 1997 to June 2013

*We assigned the ZIP codes that do not belong to any school district as a single school district (in total 40) in the analysis.

SCIENCE ADVANCES | RESEARCH ARTICLE



Fig. 1. RSV hospitalization incidence in children under two. The solid color lines show the time series of RSV hospitalizations in children <2 years in Connecticut (CT), New Jersey (NJ), and New York (NY). The vertical dotted line indicates October of each year.

We found that higher median income is associated with earlier epidemics in New Jersey but not in the other states. The positive correlation between median income and the observed RSV epidemic timing in New Jersey could reflect a greater delay between infection and hospitalization in poorer neighborhoods (fig. S1). It is also possible that the lack of an association in Connecticut and New York may result from the bidirectional effect of SES on RSV epidemic timing. Low SES may also increase susceptibility to RSV, thereby increasing the epidemic growth rate, although this effect may be partially mediated through differences in household size (fig. S1).

The results from our study have important implications for planning clinical trials and developing transmission dynamic models for RSV. First, because household size may be associated with the risk of exposure and transmission of RSV, vaccine trials should ensure that household size is balanced between vaccinees and nonvaccinees. Second, transmission dynamic models should account for spatial heterogeneity as well as spatial correlations in the force of infection (e.g., using a meta-population model). The spatial patterns of RSV epidemic timing from the best-fit model show considerable discrepancies between urban and rural areas, highlighting the need to consider these as distinct spatial units in RSV transmission models.

Our findings are consistent with previous genomic analyses of RSV, which found that household transmission is common, and viruses from nearby households share similar phylogenetic origins (27, 28). However, because of the small sample size and study design, genomic analyses were unable to compare the different transmission environments and their relative role in local RSV transmission (27, 28). Using empirical epidemiologic, demographic, and commuting flow data, our research has found evidence to fill this knowledge gap. Previous epidemiological studies also suggested that household crowding and/or a larger number of siblings are associated with increased risk of severe RSV lower respiratory tract infection (17). These findings together provide a more complete picture of the major drivers of RSV transmission and how local risk factors affect regional patterns of RSV epidemic spread.

and local seasonality (fig. S1). There are limits to what can be mechanistically tested with the statistical model we used in this study. As the demographic variables tested in our model may represent other underlying mechanisms, it is difficult to pinpoint the exact drivers of RSV dynamics with great certainty. For example, our results will not be able to confirm who drives transmission within households, as household size is correlated with the share of school-age children per household and the probability of having very young children. Mechanistic transmission dynamic models (e.g., meta-population models) that explicitly capture transmission and host immunity are needed to further study these issues. Notably, the spatial spread pattern of RSV epidemics differs

Other factors might also contribute to RSV spatial transmission

Notably, the spatial spread pattern of RSV epidemics differs from that of influenza (21, 24, 29), which suggests that different age groups drive transmission of RSV and influenza (16). As a result, the level of indirect protection that might be generated by vaccinating different age groups is expected to vary for the two pathogens (30, 31). Spatial studies suggested that commuting flows drive the spread of seasonal influenza epidemics, while school-age children may have been major drivers of the 2009 H1N1 pandemic in the United States (21, 24, 29). However, our analysis suggests that short-range modes of transmission, especially transmission within and between local communities, predominate for RSV. This, together with the fact that children under five have the highest overall risk of RSV infection (16), suggests that it is possible that infants and preschool-aged children drive RSV transmission. This could potentially be explained by the buildup of partial immunity against RSV due to previous exposure as age increases (32).

Our study and interpretations have several caveats. First, we performed an ecological analysis with aggregate data on sociodemographic characteristics at the ZIP code level. Thus, we did not assess the role of individual household size on risk of RSV transmission. Likewise, there could be substantial heterogeneity within ZIP codes in the demographic characteristics that we evaluated. Second, the aggregation of ZIP code–level cases to dominant school districts



Fig. 2. Estimated peak timing of RSV epidemics by ZIP code from the best-fit model. This model accounted for average household size, population density, population size, median income, school district, and geographic proximity. The study periods were July 1997 to June 2013 in Connecticut and July 2005 to June 2014 in New York and New Jersey.

Table 2. The difference in epidemic timing (in weeks) between the top and bottom deciles for each variable and coefficients of spatial correlation in New Jersey, New York, and Connecticut. Crl, credible interval.

	New Jersey		New York		Connecticut	
_	Mean	95% Crl	Mean	95% Crl	Mean	95% Crl
Difference in epidemic ti	ming (weeks)					
Household size	-0.13	(-0.48, 0.22)	-0.65	(–0.96, –0.35)	-1.26	(–1.74, –0.78)
Population density*	-1.48	(–2.35, –0.56)	-2.13	(-3.04, -1.13)	-1.61	(–2.78, –0.43)
Population size*	-1.00	(–2.17, 0.17)	0.35	(-0.61, 1.43)	0.16	(–0.74, 1.30)
Income*	0.91	(0.35, 1.43)	0	(–0.35, 0.35)	0.22	(-0.78, 0.35)
Spatial autocorrelation (o) [†]					
	0.97	(0.87, 1.00)	1	(0.99, 1.00)	0.76	(0.27, 0.99)

*Population density, population size, and income (household median income) were log-transformed in the model. \uparrow The posterior mean of the spatial autocorrelation parameter, ρ , was \geq 0.75 across the three states, suggesting that the residual variability was spatially structured.

may have led to some misclassification and does not account for the multiple primary schools typically found within a school district. This could have affected the data-fitting process and resulted in a lower percentage of variability that was explained by school districts. However, since school calendars and school bus routes are shared within the same school district, using school districts can help us evaluate the transmission within and between schools. Third, monthly time series may disguise some detailed variation compared to weekly time series. However, in a sensitivity analysis, we estimated the peak epidemic timing in Connecticut using both weekly data and data aggregated to the monthly level and found that the phase estimates were nearly identical (see the Supplementary Materials). Thus,

and Connecticut.			
	New Jersey	New York	Connecticut
No spatial correlation	1365	7098	601
Geographic proximity	1128	6347	472
Commuting flows	1151	6449	476

Table 3. DIC scores of competing models in New Jersey, New York, and Connecticut.

Table 4. Percent of random effect variation attributable to school districts versus geographic proximity for models fitted to RSV hospitalizations in New Jersey, New York, and Connecticut. Posterior means and 95% credible intervals are displayed.

	New Jersey	New York	Connecticut
School districts	11% (4%, 21%)	6% (3%, 11%)	31% (12%, 56%)
Geographic proximity	89% (79%, 96%)	94% (89%, 97%)	69% (44%, 88%)

the availability of finely resolved temporal surveillance data is not essential to capture broad patterns in epidemic timing. Fourth, while we draw inference about the potential mechanisms that drive RSV transmission, the pattern of spatial diffusion that we observed may result from a wide range of possibilities. Thus, the interpretation of our study results needs to be further explored with epidemiologic studies, genomic analyses, and transmission dynamic models. More detailed data, such as the proportion of young children attending daycare in each ZIP code, could help to address remaining gaps in the knowledge of this system.

In conclusion, our results reveal substantial variation in local RSV epidemic timing. We find evidence that the timing of RSV epidemics is associated with average household size, population density, median income, and epidemic timing in neighboring areas. In general, RSV epidemics take off earlier in urban areas and spread to rural places with low population density and average household size. These findings highlight the need for infection control within households and communities to protect high-risk populations. Our results also offer additional insights that can be used to inform the development of transmission dynamic models and provide guidance on future vaccine target populations and clinical trial design.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Data source

RSV-specific hospitalization data for children <2 years from 1997 to 2013 were obtained from the Connecticut State Inpatient Database through the CT-DPH. This dataset included the week and month of admission and the ZIP code of residence. Similar data for New York state and New Jersey were obtained from State Inpatient Databases of the Healthcare Cost and Utilization Project maintained by the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality; these comprehensive databases contain all hospital discharge records from community hospitals in participating states (23). Datasets include the month of hospitalization (for 2005–2014) and ZIP code of residence. Cases were defined as any child <2 years old whose hospital discharge diagnostic codes included 079.6 (RSV), 466.11 (bronchiolitis due to RSV), or 480.1 (pneumonia due to RSV), based on the International Classification of Disease Ninth Revision (ICD-9). The analysis of the data was approved by the Human Investigation Committees at Yale University and the CT-DPH. The authors assume full responsibility for analyses and interpretation of data obtained from the CT-DPH.

Geospatial data at the ZIP code level were obtained from the U.S. Census Bureau's Geography program (33). Information about population size <5 years old, average family type of household size, and median income in each ZIP code area were obtained from the U.S. Census Bureau's American Community Survey (34-36). School district information was obtained from state geographic information systems, education department databases, and national center for education statistics (37-40). Countrywide commuting patterns at the ZIP code level were obtained from the U.S. Census Bureau's Center of Economic Studies (41). All geographic and demographic data except median income are from the 2010 census, because annual inter-censual estimates were not available for all variables during this time period; this corresponds to the midpoint of the hospitalization data from New York and New Jersey. We also performed sensitivity analyses using data from 2000 in Connecticut and 2014 in New Jersey to evaluate whether changes in demographic variables would influence the estimates. Ideally, we would perform sensitivity analysis using covariate data from each year in each state; however, because of the computational cost, we chose to perform sensitivity analysis using the data from two ends of the time-series spectrum.

Model structures

Two-stage hierarchical Bayesian model

We estimated the factors associated with spatial variability in the timing of RSV epidemics at the ZIP code level using a two-stage modeling approach. In the first stage, we obtained an estimate of epidemic timing (phase shift) for each ZIP code and a corresponding measure of uncertainty, using harmonic regression. In the second stage, we fit hierarchical Bayesian spatial models with multiple covariates and different correlation structures. Model comparison techniques were used to evaluate the different models.

First stage model

The first stage consists of a harmonic Poisson regression model that estimates the amplitude and phase of seasonal RSV epidemics (18). This model was fit separately to the monthly time series of observed RSV hospitalizations from each ZIP code and was specified as

$$Y_{it}$$
~Poisson(λ_{it})

$$\ln(\lambda_{it}) = \ln(\operatorname{pop} 5_i) + \alpha_i + \xi_i \cos\left(\frac{2\pi t}{12} - T_i\right) + \varphi_{it}$$

The observed number of RSV cases in ZIP code *i* during month *t* is denoted as Y_{it} , phase T_i captures the shift in peak timing (with the 12-month period starting in early July), ξ_i is the amplitude of seasonal epidemics, $\ln(\text{pop5}_i)$ is the offset term for the population under 5, α_i is the intercept, and φ_{it} is an observation-level random effect that accounts for any unexplained variability in the data (i.e., overdispersion). In this first stage, all model parameters were estimated

separately for each ZIP code. This model was fitted in the Bayesian setting using the rjags package with weakly informative prior distributions specified for all model parameters (42). Full details are provided in the Supplementary Materials.

Second stage model

On the basis of estimates of T_i (posterior means) and their uncertainty (posterior standard deviations) obtained from the first stage, we used a Bayesian meta-regression approach in the second stage to explain spatial variability in the epidemic peak timing of RSV (43-47). Specifically, we first transformed the peak timing parameters, whose values are confined to $[0, 2\pi]$, to have support on the real line (useful for the second stage regression modeling) such that $\theta_i = \ln \theta_i$ $\left(\frac{T_i}{2\pi - T_i}\right) \in \mathbb{R}$. Next, we obtained posterior means, $\hat{\theta}_i$, and posterior standard deviations, $\hat{\sigma}_i$, from the first stage and specify the second stage model as $\hat{\theta}_i \sim N(\theta_i, \hat{\sigma}_i^2)$, where $\theta_i = \mathbf{x}_i^T \boldsymbol{\beta} + \eta_{d(i)} + \phi_i$. We used stepwise forward selection to choose candidate variables from the causal diagram for use in our second stage models (fig. S1). We performed variable selection using a simplified version of the regression model that excluded the spatial random effects. We began with the two variables (average household size and population density) that decreased the residual variance the most. We then included the variables representing other mechanisms, provided they did not affect the posterior estimates of the previously selected variables to avoid issues related to multicollinearity.

We evaluated several alternative correlation structures to describe the residual variation in the data after adjusting for the ZIP code–level covariates (average household size, population density, population size, and median income). All covariates were standardized before entering the models. The structure of the second stage model was specified as

 $\theta_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 * (\text{household size}_i) + \beta_2 * (\log \text{ pop den}_i) + \beta_3 * (\log \text{ pop size}_i) + \beta_4 * (\log \text{ median income}_i) + \eta_{d(i)} + \phi_i$

where $\eta_{d(i)}$ and ϕ_i are random effects for school district *d* and ZIP code *i*. The school district random effects are distributed as $\eta_{d(i)} \sim N(0, \sigma_{\eta}^2)$, where d(i) is the school district that includes ZIP code *i*. The alternative structures for ϕ_i were as follows:

1) No residual spatial correlation in RSV epidemic timing: $\phi_i \sim N(0, \sigma_{\phi}^2)$.

2) RSV epidemics have similar timing in neighboring geographic locations: $\phi \sim CAR(\rho, \sigma_{\phi}^2)$ (43). Here, spatial proximity was defined by ZIP codes with adjacent borders.

3) RSV epidemics have similar timing in locations connected strongly by commuting patterns $\phi \sim CAR(\rho, \sigma_{\phi}^2)$ (43). Here, spatial proximity was defined by commuting patterns across ZIP codes.

We estimated the proportion of residual variability explained by the spatially correlated random effects (ϕ_i) versus the school district random effects ($\eta_{d(i)}$) (see the Supplementary Materials). We also calculated the difference in epidemic timing between the top and bottom deciles for each variable to measure the relative importance of input variables (see the Supplementary Materials). More details about hyperprior distributions for these different models are given in the Supplementary Materials.

Parameter estimation was carried out separately for each of the three states via Markov chain Monte Carlo simulation with an initial burn-in period of 10,000 iterations and a subsequent set of 50,000 posterior samples collected for all parameters in the first stage (48). A burn-in of 5000 iterations and a subsequent set of 20,000

posterior samples were collected in the second stage. Convergence was assessed by Gelman-Robin diagnostics and examining individual parameter trace plots, with no obvious signs of nonconvergence observed. Deviance information criterion (DIC) was calculated for each model to compare the performance; a lower DIC indicates that a model has an improved balance of fit and complexity (49). Equations for the calculation of residual variance can be found in the Supplementary Materials. All analyses were performed using R v3.5.3.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS

Supplementary material for this article is available at http://advances.sciencemag.org/cgi/ content/full/7/26/eabd6421/DC1

REFERENCES AND NOTES

- C. B. Hall, G. A. Weinberg, M. K. Iwane, A. K. Blumkin, K. M. Edwards, M. A. Staat, P. Auinger, M. R. Griffin, K. A. Poehling, D. Erdman, C. G. Grijalva, Y. Zhu, P. Szilagyi, The burden of respiratory syncytial virus infection in young children. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 360, 588–598 (2009).
- 2. T. Shi, D. A. McAllister, K. L. O'Brien, E. A. F. Simoes, S. A. Madhi, B. D. Gessner, F. P. Polack, E. Balsells, S. Acacio, C. Aguayo, I. Alassani, A. Ali, M. Antonio, S. Awasthi, J. O. Awori, E. Azziz-Baumgartner, H. C. Baggett, V. L. Baillie, A. Balmaseda, A. Barahona, S. Basnet, Q. Bassat, W. Basualdo, G. Bigogo, L. Bont, R. F. Breiman, W. A. Brooks, S. Broor, N. Bruce, D. Bruden, P. Buchy, S. Campbell, P. Carosone-Link, M. Chadha, J. Chipeta, M. Chou, W. Clara, C. Cohen, E. de Cuellar, D. A. Dang, B. Dash-Yandag, M. Deloria-Knoll, M. Dherani, T. Eap, B. E. Ebruke, M. Echavarria, C. C. de Freitas Lazaro Emediato, R. A. Fasce, D. R. Feikin, L. Feng, A. Gentile, A. Gordon, D. Goswami, S. Goyet, M. Groome, N. Halasa, S. Hirve, N. Homaira, S. R. C. Howie, J. Jara, I. Jroundi, C. B. Kartasasmita, N. Khuri-Bulos, K. L. Kotloff, A. Krishnan, R. Libster, O. Lopez, M. G. Lucero, F. Lucion, S. P. Lupisan, D. N. Marcone, J. P. McCracken, M. Mejia, J. C. Moisi, J. M. Montgomery, D. P. Moore, C. Moraleda, J. Moyes, P. Munywoki, K. Mutyara, M. P. Nicol, D. J. Nokes, P. Nymadawa, M. T. da Costa Oliveira, H. Oshitani, N. Pandey, G. Paranhos-Baccala, L. N. Phillips, V. S. Picot, M. Rahman, M. Rakoto-Andrianarivelo, Z. A. Rasmussen, B. A. Rath, A. Robinson, C. Romero, G. Russomando, V. Salimi, P. Sawatwong, N. Scheltema, B. Schweiger, J. A. G. Scott, P. Seidenberg, K. Shen, R. Singleton, V. Sotomayor, T. A. Strand, A. Sutanto, M. Sylla, M. D. Tapia, S. Thamthitiwat, E. D. Thomas, R. Tokarz, C. Turner, M. Venter, S. Waicharoen, J. Wang, W. Watthanaworawit, L. M. Yoshida, H. Yu, H. J. Zar, H. Campbell, H. Nair; RSV Global Epidemiology Network, Global, regional, and national disease burden estimates of acute lower respiratory infections due to respiratory syncytial virus in young children in 2015: A systematic review and modelling study. Lancet 390, 946-958 (2017).
- T. Shi, A. Denouel, A. K. Tietjen, I. Campbell, E. Moran, X. Li, H. Campbell, C. Demont, B. O. Nyawanda, H. Y. Chu, S. K. Stoszek, A. Krishnan, P. Openshaw, A. R. Falsey, H. Nair; RESCEU Investigators, Global disease burden estimates of respiratory syncytial virus-associated acute respiratory infection in older adults in 2015: A systematic review and meta-analysis. J. Infect. Dis. 222 (suppl. 7), S577–S583 (2020).
- D. Isaacs, Should respiratory care in preterm infants include prophylaxis against respiratory syncytial virus? The case against. *Paediatr. Respir. Rev.* 14, 128–129 (2013).
- H. Nair, V. R. Verma, E. Theodoratou, L. Zgaga, T. Huda, E. A. Simoes, P. F. Wright, I. Rudan, H. Campbell, An evaluation of the emerging interventions against respiratory syncytial virus (RSV)–associated acute lower respiratory infections in children. *BMC Public Health* 11 (suppl. 3), S30 (2011).
- "RSV vaccine research and development technology roadmap. Priority activities for development, testing, licensure and global use of RSV vaccines, with a specific focus on the medical need for young children in low- and middle-income countries" (World Health Organization, 2017); https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/258706.
- D. M. Weinberger, J. L. Warren, C. A. Steiner, V. Charu, C. Viboud, V. E. Pitzer, Reduceddose schedule of prophylaxis based on local data provides near-optimal protection against respiratory syncytial virus. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* **61**, 506–514 (2015).
- S. B. Drysdale, C. J. Sande, C. A. Green, A. J. Pollard, RSV vaccine use—The missing data. Expert Rev. Vaccines 15, 149–152 (2016).
- K. Bloom-Feshbach, W. J. Alonso, V. Charu, J. Tamerius, L. Simonsen, M. A. Miller, C. Viboud, Latitudinal variations in seasonal activity of influenza and respiratory syncytial virus (RSV): A global comparative review. *PLOS ONE* 8, e54445 (2013).
- D. B. Noveroske, J. L. Warren, V. E. Pitzer, D. M. Weinberger, Local variations in the timing of RSV epidemics. *BMC Infect. Dis.* 16, 674 (2016).
- E. B. Rose, A. Wheatley, G. Langley, S. Gerber, A. Haynes, Respiratory syncytial virus seasonality—United States, 2014-2017. MMWR Morb. Mortal. Wkly Rep. 67, 71–76 (2018).

- V. E. Pitzer, C. Viboud, W. J. Alonso, T. Wilcox, C. J. Metcalf, C. A. Steiner, A. K. Haynes, B. T. Grenfell, Environmental drivers of the spatiotemporal dynamics of respiratory syncytial virus in the United States. *PLOS Pathog.* 11, e1004591 (2015).
- M. Moriyama, W. J. Hugentobler, A. Iwasaki, Seasonality of respiratory viral infections. Annu. Rev. Virol. 7, 83–101 (2020).
- N. Pica, N. M. Bouvier, Environmental factors affecting the transmission of respiratory viruses. *Curr. Opin. Virol.* 2, 90–95 (2012).
- G. Almogy, L. Stone, B. A. Bernevig, D. G. Wolf, M. Dorozko, A. E. Moses, R. Nir-Paz, Analysis of Influenza and RSV dynamics in the community using a 'Local Transmission Zone' approach. *Sci. Rep.* 7, 42012 (2017).
- E. Goldstein, H. H. Nguyen, P. Liu, C. Viboud, C. A. Steiner, C. J. Worby, M. Lipsitch, On the relative role of different age groups during epidemics associated with respiratory syncytial virus. J. Infect. Dis. 217, 238–244 (2018).
- 17. E. A. Simoes, Environmental and demographic risk factors for respiratory syncytial virus lower respiratory tract disease. *J. Pediatr.* **143**, S118–S126 (2003).
- M. G. Hancean, M. Perc, J. Lerner, Early spread of COVID-19 in Romania: Imported cases from Italy and human-to-human transmission networks. *R. Soc. Open Sci.* 7, 200780 (2020).
- N. Hens, G. M. Ayele, N. Goeyvaerts, M. Aerts, J. Mossong, J. W. Edmunds, P. Beutels, Estimating the impact of school closure on social mixing behaviour and the transmission of close contact infections in eight European countries. *BMC Infect. Dis.* 9, 187 (2009).
- S. Cauchemez, A. J. Valleron, P. Y. Boelle, A. Flahault, N. M. Ferguson, Estimating the impact of school closure on influenza transmission from Sentinel data. *Nature* 452, 750–754 (2008).
- V. Charu, S. Zeger, J. Gog, O. N. Bjornstad, S. Kissler, L. Simonsen, B. T. Grenfell, C. Viboud, Human mobility and the spatial transmission of influenza in the United States. *PLOS Comput. Biol.* 13, e1005382 (2017).
- 22. New York City Department of Health and Mental Hygiene, *Health Disparities in New York City, Health Disparities in Life Expectancy and Death* (New York City Department of Health and Mental Hygiene, 2010).
- 23. Healthcare Cost and Utilization Project State Inpatient Databases (Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, 2014); http://www.hcup-us.ahrq.gov/sidoverview.jsp).
- J. R. Gog, S. Ballesteros, C. Viboud, L. Simonsen, O. N. Bjornstad, J. Shaman, D. L. Chao, F. Khan, B. T. Grenfell, Spatial transmission of 2009 pandemic influenza in the US. *PLOS Comput. Biol.* **10**, e1003635 (2014).
- RSV Census Regional Trends (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2021); https:// www.cdc.gov/surveillance/nrevss/rsv/region.html#north.
- D. K. Yeoh, D. A. Foley, C. A. Minney-Smith, A. C. Martin, A. O. Mace, C. T. Sikazwe, H. Le, A. Levy, C. C. Blyth, H. C. Moore, Impact of Coronavirus disease 2019 public health measures on detections of influenza and respiratory syncytial virus in children during the 2020 Australian winter. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* 2020, ciaa1475 (2020).
- C. N. Agoti, P. K. Munywoki, M. V. T. Phan, J. R. Otieno, E. Kamau, A. Bett, I. Kombe, G. Githinji, G. F. Medley, P. A. Cane, P. Kellam, M. Cotten, D. J. Nokes, Transmission patterns and evolution of respiratory syncytial virus in a community outbreak identified by genomic analysis. *Virus Evol.* **3**, vex006 (2017).
- C. N. Agoti, M. V. T. Phan, P. K. Munywoki, G. Githinji, G. F. Medley, P. A. Cane, P. Kellam, M. Cotten, D. J. Nokes, Genomic analysis of respiratory syncytial virus infections in households and utility in inferring who infects the infant. *Sci. Rep.* 9, 10076 (2019).
- C. Viboud, O. N. Bjornstad, D. L. Smith, L. Simonsen, M. A. Miller, B. T. Grenfell, Synchrony, waves, and spatial hierarchies in the spread of influenza. *Science* **312**, 447–451 (2006).
- J. Medlock, A. P. Galvani, Optimizing influenza vaccine distribution. Science 325, 1705–1708 (2009).
- D. Yamin, F. K. Jones, J. P. DeVincenzo, S. Gertler, O. Kobiler, J. P. Townsend, A. P. Galvani, Vaccination strategies against respiratory syncytial virus. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 113, 13239–13244 (2016).
- F. W. Henderson, A. M. Collier, W. A. Clyde Jr., F. W. Denny, Respiratory-syncytial-virus infections, reinfections and immunity—A prospective, longitudinal study in young children. N. Eng. J. Med. 300, 530–534 (1979).
- TIGER/Line Shapefiles (United States Census Bureau, 2010); https://www.census.gov/ geographies/mapping-files/time-series/geo/tiger-line-file.2010.html.
- American Community Survey 1-Year Data (2005–2019) (United States Census Bureau, 2010); https://www.census.gov/data/developers/data-sets/acs-1year.2010.html.
- 35. American Community Survey 5-Year Data (2009–2019) (United States Census Bureau, 2011); https://www.census.gov/data/developers/data-sets/acs-5year.2011.html.
- Decennial Census (2010, 2000) (United States Census Bureau, 2000); https://www.census. gov/data/developers/data-sets/decennial-census.html.

- Connecticut GIS Data (Map and Geographic Information Center, UCONN, 2010); http:// magic.lib.uconn.edu/connecticut_data.html#education.
- Eduction Demographic and Geographic Estimates, School District Boundaries (National Center for Education Statistics, 2010); https://nces.ed.gov/programs/edge/Geographic/ DistrictBoundaries.
- NYS Schools and School District Boundaries (NYS Education Department, GIS.NY.GOV); https://gis.ny.gov/gisdata/inventories/details.cfm?DSID=1326.
- School Districts Unified for New Jersey (NJGIN Open Data, 2021); https://njogis-newjersey. opendata.arcgis.com/datasets/school-districts-unified-for-new-jersey?geometry=-85.178%2C38.667%2C-64.271%2C41.606.
- 41. Longitudinal Employer-Household Dynamics (Center for Economic Studies, United States Census Bureau); https://lehd.ces.census.gov/data/.
- M. Plummer, A. Stukalov, M. Denwood, rjags: Bayesian Graphical Models Using MCMC (Version 4–10) (2019); http://mcmc-jags.sourceforge.net/.
- B. G. Leroux, X. Lei, N. Breslow, Estimation of disease rates in small areas: A new mixed model for spatial dependence, in *Statistical Models in Epidemiology, the Environment, and Clinical Trials*, M. E. Halloran, D. Berry, Eds. (Springer New York, 2000), pp. 179–191.
- D. Lee, A comparison of conditional autoregressive models used in Bayesian disease mapping. Spat. Spatiotemporal. Epidemiol. 2, 79–89 (2011).
- Y. C. MacNab, Hierarchical Bayesian modeling of spatially correlated health service outcome and utilization rates. *Biometrics* 59, 305–315 (2003).
- M. L. Bell, A. McDermott, S. L. Zeger, J. M. Samet, F. Dominici, Ozone and short-term mortality in 95 US urban communities, 1987-2000. *JAMA* 292, 2372–2378 (2004).
- J. Besag, J. York, A. Mollié, Bayesian image restoration, with two applications in spatial statistics. Ann. Inst. Stat. Math. 43, 1–20 (1991).
- S. Banerjee, B. Carlin, A. Gelfand, *Hierarchical Modeling and Analysis of Spatial Data* (Chapman & Hall, 2004), vol. 101.
- D. J. Spiegelhalter, N. G. Best, B. P. Carlin, A. Van Der Linde, Bayesian measures of model complexity and fit. J. R. Stat. Soc. Ser. B Stat. Methodol. 64, 583–639 (2002).
- C. Prener, Areal Weighted Interpolation (2020); https://slu-opengis.github.io/areal/articles/ areal-weighted-interpolation.html.
- C. G. Prener, C. K. Revord, areal: An R package for areal weighted interpolation. J. Open Source Softw. 4, 1221 (2019).

Acknowledgments

Funding: D.M.W., V.E.P., and J.L.W. acknowledge support from grant R01Al137093 from the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases/National Institutes of Health. Z.Z. acknowledges support from the China Scholarship Council (CSC) scholarship #201806380027. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors. Author contributions: Z.Z. implemented the study, analyzed the data, and drafted the article. D.M.W. conceptualized and designed the study. V.E.P. helped revise the study design and manuscript for important intellectual content. J.L.W. designed the study's analytic strategy and helped prepare the Materials and Methods sections of the text. Competing interests: V.E.P. has received reimbursement from Merck and Pfizer for travel expenses to Scientific Input Engagements on RSV. D.M.W. has received consulting fees from Pfizer, Merck, GSK, and Affinivax for topics unrelated to this manuscript and is a principal investigator on a research grant from Pfizer on an unrelated topic. All other authors report no relevant conflicts. Data and materials availability: The demographic and geographic data that support the findings of this study are publicly available from the Geography program of the U.S. Census Bureau (33), the American Community Survey of the U.S. Census Bureau (34-36), the Center of Economic Studies of the U.S. Census Bureau (41), National Center for Education Statistics, state geographic information system, and education department databases (37-40). The hospitalization data are not available publicly but can be obtained from the State Inpatient Database with the permission of the Connecticut Department of Public Health (CT-DPH) and upon signing a data use agreement with the Agency for Healthcare Research and Ouality. The R code for this study can be found in the GitHub repository: https://github.com/weinbergerlab/RSV_spatiotemporal. All data needed to evaluate the conclusions in the paper are present in the paper and/or in the GitHub repository: https://github.com/weinbergerlab/RSV_spatiotemporal/tree/main/data.

Submitted 7 July 2020 Accepted 10 May 2021 Published 23 June 2021 10.1126/sciadv.abd6421

Citation: Z. Zheng, V. E. Pitzer, J. L. Warren, D. M. Weinberger, Community factors associated with local epidemic timing of respiratory syncytial virus: A spatiotemporal modeling study. *Sci. Adv.* **7**, eabd6421 (2021).

ScienceAdvances

Community factors associated with local epidemic timing of respiratory syncytial virus: A spatiotemporal modeling study

Zhe Zheng, Virginia E. Pitzer, Joshua L. Warren and Daniel M. Weinberger

Sci Adv **7** (26), eabd6421. DOI: 10.1126/sciadv.abd6421

ARTICLE TOOLS	http://advances.sciencemag.org/content/7/26/eabd6421
SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS	http://advances.sciencemag.org/content/suppl/2021/06/21/7.26.eabd6421.DC1
REFERENCES	This article cites 34 articles, 3 of which you can access for free http://advances.sciencemag.org/content/7/26/eabd6421#BIBL
PERMISSIONS	http://www.sciencemag.org/help/reprints-and-permissions

Use of this article is subject to the Terms of Service

Science Advances (ISSN 2375-2548) is published by the American Association for the Advancement of Science, 1200 New York Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20005. The title Science Advances is a registered trademark of AAAS.

Copyright © 2021 The Authors, some rights reserved; exclusive licensee American Association for the Advancement of Science. No claim to original U.S. Government Works. Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution NonCommercial License 4.0 (CC BY-NC).