Yale Child Study Center

Electrophysiology

Laboratory

Developmental

K. Ellison, J. Wolf, A. Naples, K. McNaughton, T. Day, T. Winkelman, M. Rolison, E. Jarzabek, B. Lewis and J. McPartland

Background

- Research has revealed the importance of understanding comorbidities, such as anxiety disorders, in children and adolescents with Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD; Magiati et al., 2017)
- Anxiety impacts how emotions are perceived and processed (Rossignol et al., 2004).
- Children with ASD have an atypical brain response to emotional faces (Dawson et al., 2004). • Eye tracking indicates that children with ASD spend less time looking at core facial features (i.e., eyes and mouth) compared to typically developing (TD) children.
- The influence of anxiety on neural responses to social information in ASD is not yet understood.
- This study applied interactive neuroscience methods to study brain response measured by electroencephalogram (EEG) during a gaze-contingent eye-tracking (ET) paradigm that simulated face-to-face social interactions.
- We evaluated whether specific differences in facial expression processing and attention are associated with anxiety in children and adolescents with ASD.

Objectives:

• To examine the relationship between anxiety symptoms and face-related event-related potentials (ERPs) and eye gaze during viewing of emotional faces in children with ASD.

Methods

Participant Demographics:

	N (Female)	Age (SD) ^a	Full Sca
ASD	24 (6)	14.4 (2.4)	109.2
TD	22 (9)	12.9 (3.0)	109.9
. –	== (•)		

Experimental Paradigm:

- Participants were presented with 80 distinct, photorealistic, animated faces matched for low-level visual features.
- Utilizing gaze-contingent ET, on-screen faces responded to a participant's direct fixation by exhibiting a happy or fearful expression (Figure 1).

Figure 1. Trial Structure.

Trials began with a counterbalanced fixation crosshair at the left or right side of the screen for 400-600ms, followed by a centrally presented neutral face. After the participant looked to the neutral face for ~500ms, the face shifted to the fear or happy condition for 600ms. A 500ms blank screen separates each trial.



EEG and ET Data Acquisition and Collection:

 EEG recorded at 1000Hz with 128 channel Geodesic Sensor Net. • ET data collected using an Eyelink-1000 remote camera.

ERP Processing:

- Data were filtered from 0.1-30Hz, re-referenced to the average reference, segmented from -100 to 500ms relative to shift in stimulus expression, baseline corrected, and artifact detected.
- ERP components were extracted from occipitotemporal electrodes (Figure 2). P100 and N170 latency and amplitude were extracted from 60-160ms and 150-300ms, respectively (See Figures 4 and 5).
- Difference scores were calculated as fear minus happy condition.

ET Processing:

- Eyelink DataViewer extracted dwell time in AOIs (Figure 3).
- Dwell time proportion was calculated and defined as the
- amount of time spent looking at a given AOI divided by the amount of time spent looking at the screen.





4=Between Eyes;

Neural Response to Emotional Faces and Anxiety in Children with Autism Spectrum Disorder

McPartland Lab, Yale Child Study Center, New Haven, CT

Methods

ale IQ (SD)^a 2 (20.3) .9 (11.6)

^a The sample was matched for age and IQ.

Face shift to fear or happy





Figure 2. Selection of electrodes for analysis.



Figure 3. Areas of Interest (AOIs). 1=Upper Face; 2+3+4+5=Eyes; 3=Left Eye; 5=Right Eye; 6+7=Lower Face.

Clinical Measures:

- The Autism Diagnostic Observation Schedule, Second Edition (ADOS-2), a diagnostic assessment, and the Autism Diagnostic Interview – Revised (ADI-R) were administered by research-reliable clinicians with expertise in ASD.
- The Differential Abilities Scale, Second Edition (DAS-II) was used to assess cognitive functioning.
- Child-reported measures, the *Multidimensional Anxiety Scale for Children, Child Report* (MASC-C) and Social Anxiety Scale for Adolescence/Children (SAS-A/SASC-R), captured anxiety symptomology in both populations.

Statistical Analyses:

Correlations were conducted between standard scores on measures of self-reported anxiety and P100 and N170 difference scores and dwell time within AOIs.



Figure 4. Left hemisphere ERP components, condition by DX

Group ERP Differences:

- A larger response to fearful faces in the right hemisphere for children with ASD was marginally significant compared to TD children F(1,42)=3.18, p=.08).
- No other significant differences were found between the ASD and TD groups for any of the other ERP components regardless of hemisphere or condition.

P100 Peak Latency and Peak Amplitude:

- In children with ASD, differential P100 latency to fear vs. happy in the left hemisphere was associated with physical symptoms of anxiety and overall total anxiety such that children with greater anxiety showed a faster response to fearful relative to happy faces (MASC-C Tense/Restless T- score, r=.-61, p<.001; MASC-C Somatic/Automatic T-score, r=-.39, p=.06; *MASC-C* Physical Symptoms Total T-score, *r*=-.60, *p*<.001, see Figure 6; *MASC-C* Total Anxiety T-score, *r*=-.41, *p*=.05; see Figure 7).
- In TD children, differential P100 amplitude to fear vs. happy in the right hemisphere was negatively associated with overall social anxiety such that TD children with greater social anxiety showed a smaller response to fearful relative to happy faces (MASC-C Social Anxiety Total T-Score, *r*=-.43, *p*=.05).
- No significant associations were found between either anxiety measure and P100 peak amplitude in the ASD group or P100 peak latency in the TD group, regardless of hemisphere.



to Fear Minus Happy and MASC-C Physical Symptoms T-Score for ASD group

Figure 5. Right hemisphere ERP components, condition by DX

to Fear Minus Happy and MASC-C Total Anxiety T-Score for ASD group

N170 Peak Latency and Peak Amplitude:

- Negative Evaluation, r=.43, p=.04).
- T-Score, *r*=.38, *p*=.07).
- Anxiety Total T-score, *r*=-.48, *p*=.02).



in Fear Condition and MASC-C Anxiety Total T-Score in TD group

Eye Tracking Analyses:

- anxiety for the ASD group, for either expression.
- *p*<.001; see Figure 8), and happy (*r*=.58, *p*<.001) conditions.

- reported physical symptoms in individuals with ASD.
- difficulties interpreting emotional facial expressions in ASD.
- development of targeted, biologically-based treatments.
- levels of anxiety.

References:

Dawson, G., Webb, S. J., Carver, L., Panagiotides, H., & McPartland, J. (2004). Young children with autism show atypical brain responses to fearful versus neutral facial expressions of emotion. Developmental science, 7(3), 340-359. Magiati, I., Ozsivadjian, A., & Kerns, C. M. (2017). Phenomenology and presentation of anxiety in Autism Spectrum Disorder. In Anxiety in children and adolescents with autism spectrum disorder (pp. 33-54). Rossignol, M., Philippot, P., Douilliez, C., Crommelinck, M., & Campanella, S. (2005). The perception of fearful and happy facial expression is modulated by anxiety: an event-related potential study. *Neuroscience letters*, 377(2), 115-120.

Funding Sources: NIMH R01 MH100173 (McPartland), NIMH R21 MH091309 (McPartland) Autism Speaks Translational Postdoctoral Fellowship (Naples), Waterloo Foundation 1167-1684 (McPartland), Patterson Trust 13-002909 (McPartland), NIMH R03MH079908 (McPartland), NARSAD Atherton Young Investigator Award (McPartland), NIMH R01 MH100173 (McPartland), CTSA Grant Number UL1 RR024139 (McPartland)





Results

• In children with ASD, differential N170 amplitude to fear vs. happy in the left hemisphere was positively associated with symptoms related to social anxiety, such that children with greater social anxiety had a smaller response to fearful relative to happy faces (SAS-A/SASC-R Fear of

Differential N170 amplitude to fear vs. happy in the ASD group was marginally associated in the same direction with symptoms related to social anxiety MASC-C Humiliation/Rejection

In TD children, differential N170 amplitude to fear vs. happy in the right hemisphere was associated with symptoms of social anxiety, such that TD children with greater social anxiety had a greater response to fearful relative to happy faces (MASC-C Humiliation/Rejection T-score, r=-.47, p=.03; MASC-C Performance Fears T-score, r=-.42, p=.05; MASC-C Social

• No significant associations were found between either anxiety measure and N170 peak latency in either the ASD or TD group, regardless of condition or hemisphere.

> Face in Happy Condition and MASC-C Anxiety Total T-Score in TD group

• No significant associations were found between the dwell proportion to any AOI and levels of

• In TD children, significant positive associations were found between overall level of anxiety (MASC-C Total Anxiety Score) and the dwell time proportion to eyes in both the fear (r=.58,

• In TD children, significant negative associations were found between overall level of anxiety (MASC-C Total Anxiety Score) and the dwell time proportion to the Lower face in both the fear (*r*=-.61, *p*<.001) and happy (*r*=-.58, *p*<.001; see Figure 9) conditions.

Conclusions

• Anxiety influences brain response to emotional faces in ASD, providing information

potentially useful for stratification in a heterogeneous population.

• Quicker neural response to fear was associated with increased overall anxiety and self-

• In the TD group, more anxiety was associated with longer looking at the eyes and less looking at the lower part of the face. This relationship was not evident in ASD.

• Higher levels of anxiety in TD children may lead to increased attention to the eyes to glean more social information. The absence of this behavior in ASD is consistent with observed

Understanding the role of anxiety in relation to social-communicative biomarkers may inform

• Limitations of this study include: small sample size and sole use of child self-report to assess

