Mini-SIPS

Abbreviated Clinical Structured Interview for DSM-5 Attenuated Psychosis Syndrome

Patient ID	Interviewer ID

DSM-5 Attenuated Psychosis Syndrome (APS) is conceptualized as a symptomatic syndrome that also connotes risk for future fully psychotic illness. An APS diagnosis is *only relevant if the individual has never previously been fully psychotic*. Attenuated psychotic symptoms are psychotic-like but below the threshold of a full psychotic disorder (i.e., symptoms are less severe and more transient, and insight is relatively maintained). To qualify for an APS diagnosis, at least one attenuated psychotic symptom must be present, occurring on average at least once per week, with an onset or worsening in the past year. Further, the symptom must be sufficiently distressing and disabling to warrant clinical attention and must not be better accounted for by another psychiatric diagnosis.

Step-by-Step Directions:

- 1. Please introduce the Mini-SIPS, explaining that you must ask everyone the same questions and that they will be able to relate to some questions more than others. Be clear that there are no right or wrong answers as we all have different experiences.
- 2. Begin the interview with a general overview of the individual's background and history. If a parent or other informant is available, obtain their permission and that of the patient to do the general overview together. Fill in the following information as needed based on the information that is missing from the intake.
- Pregnancy/delivery history
- Developmental milestones
- Medical Illness History
- History of hospitalizations both psychiatric and medical
- History of operations
- History of head injuries

- History of seizures or other neurological disorders
- History of psychiatric treatment and diagnosis
- History of medications prescribed, OTC, and supplements

Date

- History of substance experimentation/use/abuse
- History of trauma
- Educational/Occupational history including social

After you obtain this general information proceed with the specific queries (page 2). These queries should be done with the patient only. Write the answers after the questions and also, when the patient endorses the query, record responses to the follow-up questions.

- 3. Determine presence/absence in the past month of **three classes of symptom** (Queries, page 2). Ask the patient each query question. Be sure to ask about each *type* of symptom from each class (e.g., for delusions, ask about unusual thoughts, suspiciousness, *and* grandiosity). If multiple types of symptoms in this class are present, use the *most severe* one for steps 4-5. For each symptom on page 2 that is endorsed, follow-up by obtaining specifiers and qualifiers on the *nature, quality, frequency and time course* of the symptom and the degree to which the patient is convinced that the symptom is *imaginary or real*, whether the symptom *bothers* the patient in any way, and whether it *affects* their thinking and feeling about themselves, their social relations, or their behavior.
- 4. Determine whether each symptom is currently (over the last month) or previously has been in the psychotic severity range by comparing the information developed above to the symptom anchors (Ratings, page 3). Severity ratings are based primarily on the symptom-specific content of the anchors on page 3 but **also** take into account distress and interference with functioning associated with the symptom. The general range of distress and interference for all symptoms is shown immediately below.

Range Distres	Normal Range May be puzzling but are not distressing. Noticed but ignorable.	<u>APS Range</u> Concerning, unwilled, distracting, distressing, not easily ignored. May become anticipated.	Psychotic Range May cause severe distress.
Interferenc	e Thinking, feeling, or social relations may be altered but not impaired. Behavior is not affected.	and behavior may be affected.	May interfere persistently with thinking, feeling, or social relations and with behavior.

- 5. Determine whether each symptom is currently (over the last month) in the APS severity range by comparing the information developed above to the symptom anchors. *Ratings are based* **primarily** *on the symptom-specific content of the anchors by the checkboxes* on page 3 but **also** take into account distress and interference with functioning as shown *immediately above*.
- 6. Determine whether each symptom in the APS severity range symptom currently occurs on average at least once per week, has begun or worsened in the past year, and is distressing and disabling at least to some degree.
- 7. Then also determine whether each remaining symptom in the APS range that also meet the criteria in Step 4 above are clearly better accounted for by another diagnosis. Note: Patients frequently meet criteria for DSM-5 APS and for other DSM-5 diagnoses comorbidly. However, if all APS Range symptoms are typically characteristic of another DSM-5 disorder then they are considered clearly better-accounted-for by that disorder and an APS DSM-5 diagnosis is not given. Examples include an unrealistic fear of dying during a panic attack in panic disorder and an unrealistic belief in personal failure in major depression. In cases where it is not clear whether or not the comorbid diagnosis accounts for the APS-like symptom, an APS comorbid diagnosis *is* given.
- 8. Based on the steps above, determine whether the patient meets criteria for psychosis and DSM-5 APS (Diagnosis, page 4).

Mini-SIPS 1.0, an abbreviated clinical version of the Structured Interview for Psychosis-risk Syndromes, Scott Woods, Barbara Walsh, Tyrone Cannon, 19 Apr 2020

DELUSION-LIKE SYMPTOMS (DEL)

- 1. Do you ever get confused whether something you have experienced is real or imaginary?
- 2. Do familiar people or surroundings ever feel strange, confusing or unreal?
- 3. Have you ever felt that you are not in control of your own ideas or thoughts?
- 4. Have you ever felt that your ability to think has changed in any way?
- 5. Do you ever feel that thoughts are put into your head or taken away? That some person or force is interfering with your thinking?
- 6. Do you ever feel as if your thoughts are being said out loud so that other people can hearthem?
- 7. Do you ever think you can read other people's minds or that they can read your mind?
- 8. Do you ever feel that the radio, TV, computer, cell phone or other device is communicating directly to you?
- 9. Do you ever think you can predict the future?
- 10. Do you ever feel that people around you are thinking about you in a negative way?
- 11. Have you ever found yourself feeling mistrustful or suspicious of other people?
- 12. Do you ever feel like you are being singled out or watched?
- 13. Do you ever feel that people might be intending to harm you?
- 14. Do you feel that you have special gifts, talents or powers?
- 15. Do people tell you that your plans or goals are unrealistic? If so, what are those plans or goals?
- 16. Do you ever think of yourself as a famous or person or believe that you have a relationship with a famous person or with God?

HALLUCINATION-LIKE SYMPTOMS (HAL)

- 1. Do your ears ever play tricks on you or do you ever hear sounds like banging, clicking, hissing, ringing in your ears, footsteps or your name being called?
- 2. Do you ever hear a voice that others don't seem to hear?
- 3. Do you ever see things like flashes, flames, vague figures, shadows or movement out of the corner of youreye?
- 4. Do you ever see things that others can't or don't seem to see?
- 5. Have you ever noticed any bodily sensations or do you smell or taste things that others don't notice?

DISORGANIZED COMMUNICATION (DIS)

- 1. Do people ever tell you that they can't understand you when you speak?
- 2. Do you ever have trouble getting your point across like rambling or going off track?
- 3. Do you ever completely lose your train of thought?

					-	
DELUSION-LIKE SYMPTOMS including UNUSUAL THOUGHT CONTENT (j first rank <i>mental</i> events, overvalued b nihilism, ideas of reference) SUSPICIOUSNESS (guarded, mistrus hypervigilant, perceives danger or ho intentions) GRANDIOSITY (unrealistic sense of s notions of being gifted, influential or	perplexity, the beliefs, the beliefs, the beliefs, the beliefs, belief stile belief superiority,	Normal Rang May be beyon nose expected ne average per ut within cult orms. May de eliefs.	d for rson ural efend	APS Range Exceed cultural no Come from within from an outside so may seem imagina seem real. Skeptici generated by self c others.	or urce, ry or ism	Psychotic Range
1. Are DEL CURRENTLY in the APS Range? Yes No 4. Do DEL bother the patient? Yes No 2. Do DEL CURRENTLY occur ≥1x/week? Yes No 5. Do DEL influence the patient's functioning? Yes No 3. Have DEL worsened in the past year? Yes No 6. Are DEL NOT due to another disorder? Yes No INCLUDING DSM-5 APS DX: Are ALL 6 YES boxes checked immediately above? Yes No If Yes, the patient has a symptom that may qualify for an APS diagnosis (page 4). Yes No						
(unusual <i>sensory</i> events, including) AUDITORY (hears murmurs, rumbling, voices) VISUAL (sees shadows, trails, movement, illusions, figures) OTHER (olfactory, gustatory,	Normal R May have mino sensitivity chan nomentary am listortions. May hose expected average person cultural norms. experiences.	or perceptual ages or biguities or y be beyond for the but within	Exc Form perc abno ima Ske	APS Range eed cultural norms med or unformed ceptual ormalities that seen ginary or seem rea pticism generated self or others.	abn con n fron 1. exp hal can	Psychotic Range rmed perceptual formalities perceived as npletely real and distinct m the person's own periences. Qualifies as lucinations: skepticism not be induced, at least ermittently.
1. Are HAL CURRENTLY in the APS Range? Yes No 4. Do HAL bother the patient? Yes No 2. Do HAL CURRENTLY occur ≥1x/week? Yes No 5. Do HAL influence the patient's functioning? Yes No 3. Have HAL worsened in the past year? Yes No 6. Are HAL NOT due to another disorder? Yes No INCLUDING DSM-5 APS DX: Are ALL 6 YES boxes checked immediately above? Yes No If Yes, the patient has a symptom that may qualify for an APS diagnosis (page 4). Yes No						
DISORGANIZED COMMUNICATION (DIS) ODD SPEECH (overelaborate, stereotyped, metaphorical, vague) UNFOCUSED SPEECH (confused,	Normal Ra Speech may be vague, muddle overelaborate stereotyped. M	e slightly C ed, ir or c fay or may ta	bserve correctircums ircums	PS Range ed speech with ct words, stantial, or ial. May have some	or b spece	Psychotic Range resistently loose, irrelevant, blocked and unintelligible ech when under minimal ssure or when
muddled, too fast/too slow, wrong	not be observe not go off track to be redirecte	k or need of d. o	r block	ng of associations king. Redirects on with prompts or ing.	Qua resp	nmunication is complex. Alifies as derailment: not ponsive to structuring, at at intermittently.
1. Are DIS <u>CURRENTLY</u> in the APS Range? □ Yes □ No 4. Do DIS bother the patient? □ Yes □ No 2. Do DIS <u>CURRENTLY</u> occur ≥1x/week? □ Yes □ No 5. Do DIS influence the patient's functioning? □ Yes □ No 3. Have DIS worsened in the past year? □ Yes □ No 6. Are DIS <u>NOT</u> due to another disorder? □ Yes □ No						
INCLUDING DSM-5 APS DX: Are <u>ALL 6</u> YES boxes checked immediately above? Yes If Yes, the patient has a symptom that may qualify for an APS diagnosis (page 4).						

Mini-SIPS 1.0, an abbreviated clinical version of the Structured Interview for Psychosis-risk Syndromes, Scott Woods, Barbara Walsh, Tyrone Cannon, 19 Apr 2020

Mini-SIPS, Diagnoses INCLUDING/EXCLUDING FRANK PSYCHOSIS DIAGNOSIS:	Page 4
Have any of the three symptoms EVER been in the psychotic range per page 3?	YES NO
If YES, are the psychotic symptom(s) seriously disorganizing or dangerous, or have they EVER been?	YES NO
If YES, the patient qualifies for a frank psychosis diagnosis and <u>MUST NOT</u> receive an APS diag	
If NO to above, did the symptom(s) EVER occur in the psychotic range for at least one hour per day at an of four days per week over one month?	average frequency
If YES, the patient qualifies for a frank psychosis diagnosis and <u>MUST NOT</u> receive an APS diag	nosis.
If NO, please continue to the next section.	
Note 1: The Mini-SIPS determines whether patients qualify for a frank psychosis diagnosis, but the determination psychosis diagnosis should be given must be based on additional information.	on of <u>which</u> frank
Note 2: Patients whose symptoms currently or ever rate in the psychotic <u>symptom</u> range but who do not qualify <u>diagnosis</u> are permitted to receive an APS diagnosis if the criteria below are met.	for a frank psychosis
INCLUDING/EXCLUDING APS DIAGNOSIS:	
Complete only of the patient does NOT qualify for a frank psychosis diagnosis as abov	<u>'e</u> .
Does the patient have one or more symptoms that may qualify for an APS diagnosis (from page 3)?	YES NO
If YES and does NOT qualify for a psychosis diagnosis, the patient qualifies for an APS diagnosis	S.
If the patient qualifies for an APS diagnosis, what date did the APS begin?	
If the patient does NOT qualify for an APS diagnosis, what is the reason (check one)?	
Psychotic	
All symptoms are insufficiently severe, frequent, worsening, distressing, or affecting of function.	
One or more symptoms are sufficiently severe, frequent, worsening, distressing, and affecting of functi accounted for by another DSM-5 disorder. Which disorder(s) (check all that apply)?	on, but all are better
□ N/A □ major depression □ bipolar □ panic disorder □ OCD □ Other	
Use the remaining space for notes and explanations:	
Name of Interviewer Signature of Interviewer Date	e

Mini-SIPS 1.0, an abbreviated clinical version of the Structured Interview for Psychosis-risk Syndromes, Scott Woods, Barbara Walsh, Tyrone Cannon, 19 Apr 2020