Mavacamten Favorably Impacts Cardiac Structure in Obstructive Hypertrophic Cardiomyopathy

EXPLORER-HCM Cardiac Magnetic Resonance Substudy Analysis

No medical therapy for obstructive hypertrophic cardiomyopathy modifies disease expression or outcomes.1,2 Mavacamten, a cardiac myosin inhibitor that reduces actin–myosin cross-bridge formation, improved exercise capacity, left ventricular outflow tract gradients, symptoms, and health status in patients with symptomatic obstructive hypertrophic cardiomyopathy in the phase 3 EXPLORER-HCM (Clinical Study to Evaluate Mavacamten [MYK-461] in Adults with Symptomatic Obstructive Hypertrophic Cardiomyopathy) trial.3,4 The cardiac magnetic resonance (CMR) imaging substudy examined the effect of mavacamten versus placebo on cardiac structure and function.

The EXPLORER-HCM trial has been described previously.3,4 Each site’s institutional review board/independent ethics committee approved the study protocol. All participants provided written informed consent. In this substudy, the primary end point was the change in left ventricular (LV) mass index from baseline to week 30; exploratory end points included change in cellular hypertrophy, left atrial volume index, LV function, myocardial fibrosis measured by extracellular volume fraction and late gadolinium enhancement, NT-proBNP (N-terminal pro-B-type natriuretic peptide), and hs-cTnI (high-sensitivity cardiac troponin I). CMR imaging was performed using 1.5 or 3.0T systems (Philips Medical Systems or Siemens Healthcare) and included in the following order: (1) steady-state, free-precession breath-hold cine of a LV short-axis stack; (2) native T1 mapping with Modified Look-Locker Inversion Recovery in 3 equally spaced short-axis cuts (base, mid, apical) covering 16 of the 17 American Heart Association segments; (3) intravenous gadolinium contrast injection at 0.15 mmol/kg; (4) long-axis cine (2-, 3-, 4-chamber); (5) repeat T1 mapping in matching locations at 3, 10, and 25 minutes postcontrast; and (6) late gadolinium enhancement short-axis stack matching cine locations started at 15 minutes postcontrast. Between-group differences of those changes were evaluated using Wilcoxon–Mann-Whitney tests, and 95% CIs were based on normal approximation. All statistical tests were conducted as 2-sided tests with a significance level of 0.05; P values were not adjusted for multiplicity. Missing data were sparse and not imputed. The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Thirty-five patients were randomized (mavacamten, n=17; placebo, n=18). Mean age was 60.3 years, and 42.9% were female. Demographics/baseline characteristics were balanced between groups. Patients receiving mavacamten experienced a greater reduction in mean (SD) LV mass index, the primary end point, from baseline to week 30 versus patients in the placebo group (−17.4 [12.1] g/m² and −1.6 [7.4] g/m², respectively; mean between-group difference, −15.8 g/m² [95% CI, −22.6 to −9.0]; P<0.0001; Figure). LV mass decreased in the mavacamten group compared with placebo group (between-group difference, −30.0 g [95% CI, −43.3 to −16.7]; P<0.0001). The mean (SD) absolute intracellular myocardial mass...
index ([1−global extracellular volume fraction) × LV mass/body surface index] decreased with mavacamten (−14.1 [9.5] g/m²) versus no change in placebo (−1.0 [6.5] g/m²; mean between-group difference, −13.1 g/m² [95% CI, −18.7 to −7.5]; P<0.0002). Mavacamten was associated with a greater reduction in maximum LV wall thickness than placebo (Figure). In both groups, baseline mean LV ejection fraction was elevated and remained normal through week 30 despite a mild reduction observed with mavacamten (Figure). In the CMR substudy, LV ejection fraction reduction with mavacamten was similar to that assessed by echocardiogram in the EXPLORER-HCM population (−6.6% [6.3%] and −3.9% [7.7%]). There was no LV ejection fraction of <50% by CMR. Of the 9 patients in EXPLORER-HCM (7 mavacamten, 2 placebo) with a transient decrease in LV ejection fraction of <50% by CMR, 4 were in the CMR substudy (1 mavacamten, 1 placebo) and both were asymptomatic at time of the measure. Myocardial contractile fraction ([LV stroke volume/LV myocardial volume] × 100; LV myocardial volume=LV mass/[1.05 g/mL]), another parameter of LV systolic function, was similar in both groups at baseline (mavacamten, 61.0% [17.9%]; placebo, 60.1% [17.3%]) and remained unchanged at week 30 (mean between-group difference, 2.4% [95% CI, −4.5 to 9.3]; P=0.7043). A greater reduction in maximum LV atrial volume index was observed with mavacamten versus placebo (mean between-group difference, −10.3 ml/m² [95% CI, −16.0 to −4.6]; P=0.0004; Figure). There was little fibrosis at baseline with no notable within- or between-group changes in late gadolinium enhancement (Figure) and extracellular volume fraction (mean change [SD] global extracellular volume fraction, 0.02 [0.07] in the mavacamten group; 0.00 [0.03] in the placebo group). There was a 50% greater reduction in hs-cTnI and 80% greater reduction in NT-proBNP with mavacamten versus placebo (P<0.01). Change in LV mass index was positively correlated with change in hs-cTnI (n=31; Rho=0.75 [95% CI, 0.53–0.87]). The CMR substudy is the first to show favorable impact of a pharmacological agent on cardiac remodeling in HCM. Mavacamten was associated with significant reductions in absolute intracellular myocardial mass index as well as LV mass index, maximum LV wall thickness, and left atrial volume index—all predictors of poor prognosis in obstructive hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. Importantly, there were no changes in fibrosis or myocardial contractile fraction observed during 30 weeks, and contractile function remained normal. Reductions in hypertrophy and left atrial volumes were observed concurrent with reductions in levels of plasma...
biomarkers of myocardial stress and injury. These findings suggest that even short-term mavacamten treatment had a favorable effect on cardiac structure in patients with obstructive hypertrophic cardiomyopathy.
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