

APERIODIC ACTIVITY IN RESTING STATE EEG DIFFERENTIATES AUTISM AND SCHIZOPHRENIA FROM A NON-AUTISTIC GROUP Brianna Cairney, Adam Naples, Jennifer Foss-Feig, Vinod Srihari, James McPartland

Background

- Measuring EEG oscillations requires an assumption about activity observed within a predefined frequency band, which disregards individual variance.
- Non-oscillatory signals (i.e., aperiodic power), often treated as noise, can affect power spectrum calculations and provide informative data.^{1, 2}
- Aperiodic activity is accounted for by calculating the slope of the power spectrum—the rate of decay in spectral power as a function of frequency—which follows the natural decrease in power observed at higher frequencies in humans.^{3, 4}
- Aperiodic brain activity has been observed in neurodevelopmental conditions, including schizophrenia⁵ and autism.⁶
- In prior research with autistic and non-autistic participants, individual aperiodic power spectra revealed patterns of activity that discriminated between the two groups.⁷ • **Objective:** Evaluate aperiodic activity derived from resting EEG as a predictor of clinical diagnosis across adults with
- autism, schizophrenia, or no neuropsychiatric condition.

Methods

- Resting state EEG was recorded from 59 adults with autism (ASD; 47 men, 12 women; 17.9–43 years, *M* = 25.0, SD = 6.1), 42 with schizophrenia or psychosis (SZ; 37 men, 5 women; 19.2–43.6 years, M = 25.5, SD = 5.5), and 61 with no known neuropsychiatric disorder (NT; 35 men, 26 women; 18.3–46.6 years, M = 26.4, SD = 6.3).
- EEG was recorded for 80 seconds while participants' eyes were closed.
- Power spectrum densities were calculated across all electrodes in a 3-50 Hz frequency range.
- Linear regression was applied to frequency and median power to derive the slope for each participant.
- Participants' slopes were submitted to linear regression with group as a predictor.
- Pearson's correlations were conducted within clinical groups between participants' slope estimates and clinical scores.



- Power spectrum density slopes (i.e., oscillatory + aperiodic activity) differed by group diagnostic status, F(2, 151) = 3.69, $p = .03, R^2 = 0.05.$
- TD participants' slopes were significantly more negative (i.e., steeper) compared to ASD (β = -0.0014, p =.01) and SZ $(\beta = -0.0013, p = .04)$ groups.
- No difference was observed between ASD and SZ groups $(\beta = 0.0001, p = .84).$
- Although power spectrum density slopes differed across clinical diagnostic status, they were not correlated with diagnostic severity.
- Autism Quotient (AQ): r = .02, p = .80
- Autism Diagnostic Observation Schedule (ADOS): r = 0.03, p = .72Schizotypal Personality Questionnaire (SPQ): r = -.13, p = .13r = -.02, p = .87, nor slope and ADOS scores, r = -.02, p = .87. and SPQ, *r* = 0.17, *p* = .32, nor slope and PANSS, *r* = -.29, *p* = .27.

- Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale (PANSS): *r* = -.29, *p* = .77 No correlation among autistic participants between slope and AQ,
- No correlation among schizophrenic participants between slope

- with autism and schizophrenia.
- clinical group.
- relative to high-frequency activity).

- of autism and schizophrenia.

NIMH R01 MH107426 (McPartland, Srihari) The Hilibrand Foundation

¹He et al., 2010, *Neuron*. ² Pathania et al., 2021, International Journal of Psychophysiology. ³ Gerster et al., 2022, Neuroinformatics. ⁴ Donoghue et al., 2022, European Journal of Neuroscience. ⁵ Peterson et al., 2023, Clinical EEG and Neuroscience. ⁶ Shuffrey et al., 2022, Developmental Psychobiology. ⁷ Levin et al., 2020, Frontiers in Integrative Neuroscience.



Conclusions

In this transdiagnostic sample, aperiodic EEG effectively differentiated between clinical and non-clinical groups. Specifically, participants without a neuropsychiatric condition had a steeper decay in slope compared to those

Clinical features were not associated with slope in either

Group differences may reflect variation in efficiency of neural processing, connectivity, or in excitatory and inhibitory balance (i.e., stronger low-frequency oscillations

Greater variability in EEG signals has been reported in both autism and schizophrenia which obscure differences in slope values between the two clinical groups.

Indeed, both conditions share similar patterns of atypical functional connectivity as well as comparable behavioral traits, cognitive impairments, and genetic variants.

Additional study of oscillatory and non-oscillatory neural patterns may help elucidate the biological underpinnings

Funding Sources

McPartland Lab mcp-lab.org mcp.lab@yale.edu



References