

But every single subgroup we looked at whether based on age, gender, or performance status or region of the world...or whether they had recurrent disease only or also had metastatic disease—across all those groups, pembrolizumab was better than conventional treatment.

pembrolizumab were still alive, compared to 19 percent of those who received conventional chemotherapy. After three years these survival rates were 33 percent and eight percent, respectively.

"So between 24 months and 36 months," explained Dr. Burtness, "there continued to be a significant falloff in the conventional group but the survival rate had stabilized in the pembrolizumab group. That durable effect is very significant."

Next the researchers looked more closely at patients whose tumors expressed smaller amounts of PD-L1. Again, they found a strong effect. The median survival with conventional chemotherapy was 10.4 months, but adding pembrolizumab raised that number to 13.6 months. The scientists also found similar patterns of stability and durability. The survival rate for those on conventional chemotherapy plummeted over time, whereas patients who were treated with pembrolizumab lived longer and the survival rate stabilized: after three years, 25.6 percent of the pembrolizumab patients were alive compared to 6.5 percent of those who had conventional therapy.

"Then we looked to see if there were subgroups that didn't seem to benefit from pembrolizumab," said Dr. Burtness. "But every single subgroup we looked at—whether based on age, gender, or performance status or region of the world, whether they had been smokers, or their disease was related to HPV [human papillomavirus], or whether they had recurrent disease only or also had metastatic disease—across all those groups, pembrolizumab was better than conventional treatment."

Dr. Burtness and her colleagues found that both conventional chemotherapy and pembrolizumab with chemotherapy initially showed similar success at stopping the cancer's progression. "But as time went by," she said, "the patients who were not progressive early on pembrolizumab plus chemotherapy were more likely to have durable effects. I think our trial shows that you should expose patients to pembrolizumab early because we have these durable survival effects even after patients progress and come off pembrolizumab. It appears as if reinvigorating the immune system early in the course of treatment makes a big difference. We hypothesize that pembrolizumab may sensitize tumors to subsequent therapies."

The researchers also updated their data comparing conventional chemotherapy to pembrolizumab as a monotherapy. The median survival was 10.7 months for patients on conventional treatment versus 14.8 months for pembrolizumab alone. "And, again, I'm going to harp on durability," said Dr. Burtness, "because at three years 29 percent of the patients who got pembrolizumab were alive as opposed to nine percent who got the standard of care therapy. So as the data have matured," she concluded, "they only get more impressive. We saw the effects holding across all groups." That's what the FDA saw as well. It decided that HNC patients with higher expression of PD-L1 can be treated with pembrolizumab alone as a first-line monotherapy, and that all patients, regardless of their levels of PD-L1, can receive the drug in combination with chemotherapy as a first-line treatment.

Meanwhile, Dr. Burtness is immersed in additional clinical trials concerning HNC. One involves patients who are radiotherapy-resistant. The standard of care for these patients is major surgery, often with terrible after effects, and their cancer typically recurs quickly. In the trial at Yale, patients receive four doses of pembrolizumab before surgery. "The hope is that the immunotherapy will reverse the exhaustion of the patient's immune system and allow it to recognize the cancer again so that the operation can be more successful," explained Dr. Burtness. She added that it's too early to discuss results, but notes that some responses have been remarkable.

Another trial beginning this fall will treat HNC with a combination of immunotherapy and an HPV vaccine. Her lab is also exploring targeted therapies, which haven't been individually successful against HNC. But in a paper published in February in *Clinical Cancer Research*, she and colleagues found that simultaneously targeting and inhibiting two oncogenic kinases, Aurora Kinase A (AURKA) and WEE1, creates a spectacular synergistic response in the HNC squamous cell carcinoma.

"The cells kind of explode," said Dr. Burtness. "It looks like a nova." The researchers have demonstrated this destructive synergy in HNC cell lines and mouse models, and hope to move into a clinical trial shortly. "It's pretty exciting," said Dr. Burtness.