## Mini-SIPS

#### Abbreviated Clinical Structured Interview for DSM-5 Attenuated Psychosis Syndrome

Patient ID	Interviewer ID	Date	

DSM-5 Attenuated Psychosis Syndrome (APS) is conceptualized as a symptomatic syndrome that also connotes risk for future fully psychotic illness. An APS diagnosis is *only relevant if the individual has never previously been fully psychotic*. Attenuated psychotic symptoms are psychotic-like but below the threshold of a full psychotic disorder (i.e., symptoms are less severe and more transient, and insight is relatively maintained). To qualify for an APS diagnosis, at least one attenuated psychotic symptom must be present, occurring on average at least once per week, with an onset or worsening in the past year. Further, the symptom must be sufficiently distressing and disabling to warrant clinical attention and must not be better accounted for by another psychiatric diagnosis.

#### **Step-by-Step Directions:**

- 1. Please introduce the Mini-SIPS, explaining that you must ask everyone the same questions and that they will be able to relate to some questions more than others. Be clear that there are no right or wrong answers as we all have different experiences.
- 2. Begin the interview with a general overview of the individual's background and history. If a parent or other informant is available, obtain their permission and that of the patient to do the general overview together. Fill in the following information as needed based on the information that is missing from the intake.
- Pregnancy/delivery history
- Developmental milestones
- Medical Illness History
- History of hospitalizations both psychiatric and medical
- History of operations
- History of head injuries

- History of seizures or other neurological disorders
- History of psychiatric treatment and diagnosis
- History of medications prescribed, OTC, and supplements
- History of substance experimentation/use/abuse
- History of trauma
- Educational/Occupational history including social

After you obtain this general information proceed with the specific queries (page 2). These queries should be done with the patient only. Write the answers after the questions and also, when the patient endorses the query, record responses to the follow-up questions.

- 3. Determine presence/absence in the past month of **three classes of symptom** (Queries, page 2). Ask the patient each query question. Be sure to ask about each *type* of symptom from each class (e.g., for delusions, ask about unusual thoughts, suspiciousness, *and* grandiosity). If multiple types of symptoms in this class are present, use the *most severe* one for steps 4-5. For each symptom on page 2 that is endorsed, follow-up by obtaining specifiers and qualifiers on the *nature*, *quality*, *frequency and time course* of the symptom and the degree to which the patient is convinced that the symptom is *imaginary or real*, whether the symptom *bothers* the patient in any way, and whether it *affects* their thinking and feeling about themselves, their social relations, or their behavior.
- 4. Determine whether each symptom is currently (over the last month) or previously has been in the psychotic severity range by comparing the information developed above to the symptom anchors (Ratings, page 3). Severity ratings are based primarily on the symptom-specific content of the anchors on page 3 but also take into account distress and interference with functioning associated with the symptom. The general range of distress and interference for all symptoms is shown immediately below.

Range Dist	May be puzzling but are not distressing. Noticed but ignorable.	APS Range Concerning, unwilled, distracting, distressing, not easily ignored. May become anticipated.	Psychotic Range May cause severe distress.
Interfere	Thinking, feeling, or social relations may be altered but not impaired. Behavior is not affected.	Thinking, feeling, social relations, and behavior may be affected.	May interfere persistently with thinking, feeling, or social relations and with behavior.

- 5. Determine whether each symptom is currently (over the last month) in the APS severity range by comparing the information developed above to the symptom anchors. *Ratings are based* **primarily** *on the symptom-specific content of the anchors by the checkboxes* on page 3 but **also** take into account distress and interference with functioning as shown *immediately above*.
- 6. Determine whether each symptom in the APS severity range symptom currently occurs on average at least once per week, has begun or worsened in the past year, and is distressing and disabling at least to some degree.
- 7. Then also determine whether each remaining symptom in the APS range that also meet the criteria in Step 4 above are clearly better accounted for by another diagnosis. Note: Patients frequently meet criteria for DSM-5 APS and for other DSM-5 diagnoses comorbidly. However, if all APS Range symptoms are typically characteristic of another DSM-5 disorder then they are considered clearly better-accounted-for by that disorder and an APS DSM-5 diagnosis is not given. Examples include an unrealistic fear of dying during a panic attack in panic disorder and an unrealistic belief in personal failure in major depression. In cases where it is not clear whether or not the comorbid diagnosis accounts for the APS-like symptom, an APS comorbid diagnosis *is* given.
- 8. Based on the steps above, determine whether the patient meets criteria for psychosis and DSM-5 APS (Diagnosis, page 4).

### **DELUSION-LIKE SYMPTOMS (DEL)**

- 1. Do you ever get confused whether something you have experienced is real or imaginary?
- 2. Do familiar people or surroundings ever feel strange, confusing or unreal?
- 3. Have you ever felt that you are not in control of your own ideas or thoughts?
- 4. Have you ever felt that your ability to think has changed in any way?
- 5. Do you ever feel that thoughts are put into your head or taken away? That some person or force is interfering with your thinking?
- 6. Do you ever feel as if your thoughts are being said out loud so that other people can hearthem?
- 7. Do you ever think you can read other people's minds or that they can read your mind?
- 8. Do you ever feel that the radio, TV, computer, cell phone or other device is communicating directly to you?
- 9. Do you ever think you can predict the future?
- 10. Do you ever feel that people around you are thinking about you in a negative way?
- 11. Have you ever found yourself feeling mistrustful or suspicious of other people?
- 12. Do you ever feel like you are being singled out or watched?
- 13. Do you ever feel that people might be intending to harm you?
- 14. Do you feel that you have special gifts, talents or powers?
- 15. Do people tell you that your plans or goals are unrealistic? If so, what are those plans or goals?
- 16. Do you ever think of yourself as a famous or person or believe that you have a relationship with a famous person or with God?

### HALLUCINATION-LIKE SYMPTOMS (HAL)

- 1. Do your ears ever play tricks on you or do you ever hear sounds like banging, clicking, hissing, ringing in your ears, footsteps or your name being called?
- 2. Do you ever hear a voice that others don't seem to hear?
- 3. Do you ever see things like flashes, flames, vague figures, shadows or movement out of the corner of youreye?
- 4. Do you ever see things that others can't or don't seem to see?
- 5. Have you ever noticed any bodily sensations or do you smell or taste things that others don't notice?

### DISORGANIZED COMMUNICATION (DIS)

- 1. Do people ever tell you that they can't understand you when you speak?
- 2. Do you ever have trouble getting your point across like rambling or going off track?
- 3. Do you ever completely lose your train of thought?

<b>DELUSION-LIKE SYMPTOMS</b>	(DEL)	Normal Ran	ige	APS Range		Psychotic Range
including		May be beyo	ond	Exceed cultural r	orms.	Feel completely real and
UNUSUAL THOUGHT CONTENT (	perplexity,	those expecte	ed for	Come from within	n or	distinct from the person's
first rank <i>mental</i> events, overvalued		the average p	erson	from an outside s	ource,	own experiences.
nihilism, ideas of reference)		but within cu	ltural	may seem imagir	nary or	Qualifies as delusional
SUSPICIOUSNESS (guarded, mistrus	tful,	norms. May	defend	seem real. Skepti	cism	conviction: skepticism
hypervigilant, perceives danger or ho		beliefs.		generated by self	or	cannot be induced, at
intentions)				others.		least intermittently.
GRANDIOSITY (unrealistic sense of	superiority,					,
notions of being gifted, influential or						
1. Are DEL <u>CURRENTLY</u> in the APS R				bother the patien		☐ Yes ☐ No
2. Do DEL <u>CURRENTLY</u> occur ≥1x/we 3. Have DEL worsened in the past year?				influence the pati L <u>NOT</u> due to ano		
• •				<u> </u>	mer ai	
INCLUDING DSM-5 APS DX: Are ALI				·		☐ Yes ☐ No
If Yes, the patien	t has a sympt	om that may q	ualify 1	for an APS diagnos	sis (pag	ge 4).
HALLUCINATION-LIKE		Range		APS Range		Psychotic Range
	•	nor perceptua		ceed cultural norn		ormed perceptual
	sensitivity ch			rmed or unformed		normalities perceived as
TICETICITE (HOUSE HIGHING)	•	mbiguities or		rceptual		mpletely real and distinct
1001110111129, 101000/		May be beyond				om the person's own
· is sile (soos silues · s, timis,	those expecte			aginary or seem re		periences. Qualifies as
,,		on but within		epticism generated		llucinations: skepticism
o iiiiii (oiiiiii), gastatoij,		s. May defen	d by	self or others.		nnot be induced, at least
tactile)	experiences.				int	ermittently.
4 A HALCHDONDENT A ADOL		. 🗆 🛪 👍			40	
1. Are HAL <u>CURRENTLY</u> in the APS F 2. Do HAL <u>CURRENTLY</u> occur ≥1x/we				L bother the patien L influence the pat		☐ Yes ☐ No functioning? ☐ Yes ☐ No
3. Have HAL worsened in the past year?				L influence the pau L <u>NOT</u> due to ano		
• •				· <u></u>	ther u	
INCLUDING DSM-5 APS DX: Are ALI					·: ~ ( ~ -	☐ Yes ☐ No
If Yes, the patien	t nas a sympt	om tnat may q	[uality ]	for an APS diagnos	sis (pag	ge 4).
DISORGANIZED	Normal 1	Range	A	APS Range		Psychotic Range
<b>COMMUNICATION (DIS)</b>	Speech may	be slightly		ved speech with	Pe	ersistently loose, irrelevant,
	vague, mudo	dled,	incorre	ect words,	or	blocked and unintelligible
ODD SPEECH (overelaborate,	overelaborat	te or	circum	stantial, or	spe	eech when under minimal
stereotyped, metaphorical, vague)	stereotyped.	May or may	tangen	tial. May have son	ne pre	essure or when
UNFOCUSED SPEECH (confused,	not be obser	ved. Does	loosen	ing of association	s coi	nmunication is complex.
muddled, too fast/too slow, wrong	not go off tra	ack or need	or bloc	king. Redirects or	n Qu	alifies as derailment: not
words, irrelevant context, off track)	to be redirec	eted.	own o	r with prompts or	res	ponsive to structuring, at
MEANDERING SPEECH			structu	ring.	lea	st intermittently.
(circumstantial, tangential)						
1. Are DIS <u>CURRENTLY</u> in the APS Ra	ange? $\square$ V	es No 4.	Do DIS	bother the patient	t?	☐ Yes ☐ No
2. Do DIS <u>CURRENTLY</u> occur ≥1x/week?						
3. Have DIS worsened in the past year?				S <u>NOT</u> due to anot		
INCLUDING DSM-5 APS DX: Are <u>ALL 6</u> YES boxes checked immediately above?						
If Yes, the patient has a symptom that may qualify for an APS diagnosis (page 4).						

# Mini-SIPS, Diagnoses INCLUDING/EXCLUDING FRANK PSYCHOSIS DIAGNOSIS:

including/excluding Frank 151 chosis Diagnosis.	
Have any of the three symptoms EVER been in the psychotic range per page 3?	☐ YES ☐ NO
If YES, are the psychotic symptom(s) seriously disorganizing or dangerous, or have they EVER been?	☐ YES ☐ NO
If YES, the patient qualifies for a frank psychosis diagnosis and MUST NOT receive an APS diag	nosis.
If NO to above, did the symptom(s) EVER occur in the psychotic range for at least one hour per day at an of four days per week over one month?	average frequency YES NO
If YES, the patient qualifies for a frank psychosis diagnosis and MUST NOT receive an APS diag	nosis.
If NO, please continue to the next section.	
Note 1: The Mini-SIPS determines whether patients qualify for a frank psychosis diagnosis, but the determination psychosis diagnosis should be given must be based on additional information.	on of which frank
Note 2: Patients whose symptoms currently or ever rate in the psychotic <u>symptom</u> range but who do not qualify <u>diagnosis</u> are permitted to receive an APS diagnosis if the criteria below are met.	for a frank psychosis
INCLUDING/EXCLUDING APS DIAGNOSIS:	
Complete only of the patient does NOT qualify for a frank psychosis diagnosis as above	<u>e</u> .
Does the patient have one or more symptoms that may qualify for an APS diagnosis (from page 3)?	☐ YES ☐ NO
If YES and does NOT qualify for a psychosis diagnosis, the patient qualifies for an APS diagnosis	<b>5.</b>
If the patient qualifies for an APS diagnosis, what date did the APS begin?	
If the patient does NOT qualify for an APS diagnosis, what is the reason (check one)?	
Psychotic	
All symptoms are insufficiently severe, frequent, worsening, distressing, or affecting of function.	
One or more symptoms are sufficiently severe, frequent, worsening, distressing, and affecting of functi	on, but all are better
accounted for by another DSM-5 disorder. Which disorder(s) (check all that apply)?	o, ~ ur un un c ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~
☐ N/A ☐ major depression ☐ bipolar ☐ panic disorder ☐ OCD ☐ Other	
Use the remaining space for notes and explanations:	

Name of Interviewer \_\_\_\_\_\_ Signature of Interviewer \_\_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_