

Policy Statement—Modified Recommendations for Use of Palivizumab for Prevention of Respiratory Syncytial Virus Infections

(1)	Overview material	<i>Provide a structured abstract that includes the guideline's release date, status (original, revised, updated), and print and electronic sources.</i>
	Release Date	December 2009
	Status	All policy statements from the American Academy of Pediatrics automatically expire 5 years after publication unless reaffirmed, revised, or retired at or before that time.
	Available in Electronic Format	www.pediatrics.org/cgi/doi/10.1542/peds.2009-2345
	Available in Print Format	Empty
	Bibliographic citation	doi:10.1542/peds.2009-2345
	Contact Information	Empty
	Adapted From Another Guideline	Empty
(2)	Focus	<i>Describe the primary disease/condition and intervention/ service/ technology that the guideline addresses. Indicate any alternative preventive, diagnostic or therapeutic interventions that were considered during development.</i>
	Primary disease or condition	Empty
	Alternative Strategies Available	Empty
	Comparable Guideline	This statement updates and replaces the 2003 AAP statement and the 2006 Red Book and is consistent with the 2009 Red Book recommendations. Pediatrics 2009;124:000
(3)	Goal	<i>Describe the goal that following the guideline is expected to achieve, including the rationale for development of a guideline on this topic.</i>
	Goal	Ensure optimal balance of benefit and cost from this expensive intervention.
	Rationale	Empty
	Outcomes or Performance Measures Considered	Empty
(4)	Users/Setting	<i>Describe the intended users of the guideline (e.g., provider types, patients) and the settings in which the guideline is intended to be used.</i>
	Users	Empty
	Care Setting	Empty
(5)	Target population	<i>Describe the patient population eligible for guideline recommendations and list any exclusion criteria.</i>
	Population Target	Pediatric patients who are at increased risk of severe disease
	Eligibility	Pediatric patients who are at increased risk of severe disease
	Inclusion criteria	Pediatric patients who are at increased risk of severe disease
	Exclusion criteria	Empty
(6)	Developer	<i>Identify the organization(s) responsible for guideline development and the names/credentials/potential conflicts of interest of individuals involved in the guideline's development.</i>
	Name of Developer	Empty
	Name of Committee	COMMITTEE ON INFECTIOUS DISEASES Joseph A. Bocchini Jr, MD, Chairperson Henry H. Bernstein, DO John S. Bradley, MD Michael T. Brady, MD Carrie L. Byington, MD Margaret C. Fisher, MD Mary P. Glode, MD Mary Anne Jackson, MD Harry L. Keyserling, MD David W. Kimberlin, MD Walter A. Orenstein, MD Gordon E. Schutze, MD Rodney E. Willoughby, MD
	Committee Expertise	Empty
(7)	Funding source/sponsor	<i>Identify the funding source/sponsor and describe its role in developing, and/or reporting the guideline. Disclose potential conflict of interest.</i>
	Source of Funding	Empty
	Name of Developer	Empty
	Role Of Sponsor	Empty
	Conflict Of Interest	Empty

(8) Evidence collection	<i>Describe the methods used to search the scientific literature, including the range of dates and databases searched, and criteria applied to filter the retrieved evidence.</i>
Description of Evidence Collection	Empty
Number of Source Documents	Empty
Evidence Time Period	Empty
Criteria for Selecting Evidence	Empty
(9) Recommendation grading criteria	<i>Describe the criteria used to rate the quality of evidence that supports the recommendations and the system for describing the strength of the recommendations. Recommendation strength communicates the importance of adherence to a recommendation and is based on both the quality of the evidence and the magnitude of anticipated benefits or harms.</i>
Recommendation Grading Criteria	Empty
Evidence Quality Rating Scheme	Empty
Recommendation Strength Rating Scheme	Empty
(10) Method for synthesizing evidence	<i>Describe how evidence was used to create recommendations, e.g., evidence tables, meta-analysis, decision analysis.</i>
Description of Evidence Combination	Empty
Methods To Reach Judgment	Empty
(11) Pre-release review	<i>Describe how the guideline developer reviewed and/or tested the guidelines prior to release.</i>
External Review	Empty
Pilot testing	Empty
Formal Appraisal	Empty
(12) Update plan	<i>State whether or not there is a plan to update the guideline and, if applicable, an expiration date for this version of the guideline.</i>
Expiration	Empty
Scheduled Review	Empty
(13) Definitions	<i>Define unfamiliar terms and those critical to correct application of the guideline that might be subject to misinterpretation.</i>
Definitions	All policy statements from the American Academy of Pediatrics automatically expire 5 years after publication unless reaffirmed, revised, or retired at or before that time.
Term - Meaning	
(14) Recommendations and rationale	<i>State the recommended action precisely and the specific circumstances under which to perform it. Justify each recommendation by describing the linkage between the recommendation and its supporting evidence. Indicate the quality of evidence and the recommendation strength, based on the criteria described in 9.</i>
Recommendation	* Criteria 1. Infants with CLD (Page 4, Column 1, Paragraph 3) - <i>Conditional</i> - 1.1 Infants with CLD
Decision Variable	Chronological Age
Decision Variable	CLD
Decision Variable	Receives medical therapy
Decision Variable	Onset of RSV
Action	should receive a maximum of 5 doses.
Action	Empty
Reference	4. The IMPact-RSV Study Group. Palivizumab, a humanized respiratory syncytial virus monoclonal antibody, reduces hospitalization from respiratory syncytial virus infection in high risk infants. <i>Pediatrics</i> . 1998;102(3):531–537
Reason	The primary benefit of immuno prophylaxis is a decrease in the rate of RSV associated hospitalization.

Strength of Recommendation	Strength of Recommendation = A
Quality of Evidence	Quality of Evidence = I (The efficacy of palivizumab has been evaluated in 2 multicenter, placebo controlled, randomized clinical trials, both of which used a primary endpoint of reduction in hospitalization attributable to RSV infection. The RSV-IMPACT trial evaluated children 24 months of age or younger with CLD who required continuing medical therapy (supplemental oxygen, bronchodilator, or diuretic or corticosteroid therapy) within the previous 6 months) and children born at 35 weeks' gestation or less who were 6 months of age or younger at the start of the RSV season. 4 Prophylaxis resulted in a 55% overall decrease in the rate of RSV-related hospitalization (10.6% and 4.8% in recipients of placebo versus palivizumab, respectively [P .001]).
Recommendation	* Criteria 1. Infants with CLD (Page 4, Column 1, Paragraph 3) - <i>Conditional</i> - 1.2 Severe CLD
Decision Variable	Conditional 1.1
Decision Variable	Received Synagis Prior Year
Decision Variable	Severe CLD
Action	may benefit from prophylaxis during a second RSV season.
Action	Individual patients may benefit from decisions made in consultation with neonatologists, pediatric intensivists, pulmonologists, or infectious disease specialists (AI).
Reference	Empty
Reason	The primary benefit of immuno prophylaxis is a decrease in the rate of RSV associated hospitalization. Patients with the most severe CLD who continue to require medical therapy may benefit from prophylaxis during a second RSV season.
Strength of Recommendation	Strength = C
Quality of Evidence	Quality of Evidence = III (Evidence from opinions of respected authorities, based on clinical experience, descriptive studies, or reports of expert committees.) "Data are limited regarding the effectiveness of palivizumab during the second year of life."
Recommendation	* Criteria 2. Infants Gestational Age < 32 weeks (Page 4, Column 2, Paragraph 2) - <i>Conditional</i> - 2.1 Infants born at 28 weeks' gestation or earlier may benefit from prophylaxis during the RSV season whenever that occurs during the first 12 months of life.
Decision Variable	Gestational Age
Decision Variable	Chronological Age
Decision Variable	Onset of RSV
Action	May benefit from prophylaxis
Action	Receive maximum of 5 doses
Action	Receive all 5 doses
Reference	Empty
Reason	Empty
Strength of Recommendation	Empty
Quality of Evidence	Empty
Recommendation	* Criteria 2. Infants Gestational Age < 32 weeks (Page 4, Column 2, Paragraph 2) - <i>Conditional</i> - 2.2 Infants born at 29 to 32 weeks' gestation (31 weeks 6 days) may benefit most from prophylaxis up to 6 months of age.
Decision Variable	Gestational Age
Decision Variable	Chronological Age
Decision Variable	Onset of RSV
Decision Variable	CLD
Action	May benefit from prophylaxis
Action	Receive maximum of 5 doses
Action	Receive all 5 doses
Reference	Empty
Reason	Empty
Strength of Recommendation	Empty
Quality of Evidence	Empty

Recommendation	* Criteria 3. Infants Gestational Age > 32 & < 35 weeks (Page 4, Column 2, Paragraph 3) - <i>Conditonal</i> - 3.1 Prophylaxis may be considered for infants from 32 through less than 35 weeks' gestation (defined as 32 weeks 0 days through 34 weeks 6 days) who are born less than 3 months before the onset or during the RSV season and for whom at least 1 of the 2 risk factors is present.
Decision Variable	Gestational Age
Decision Variable	Chronological Age
Decision Variable	Attends Childcare
Decision Variable	Other children < 5 years in household.
Decision Variable	Onset of RSV Season
Action	Prophylaxis may be considered
Action	Receive prophylaxis only until they reach 3 months of age
Action	Receive a maximum of 3 monthly doses;
Reference	Empty
Reason	Epidemiologic data suggest thatRSV infection is more likely to oc-cur and more likely to lead to hos-pitalization for infants in thisgestational-age group when atleast 1 of the following 2 risk fac-tors is present:
Strength of Recommendation	Empty
Quality of Evidence	Empty
Recommendation	* Criteria 4. Infants with congenital abnormalities of the airway or neuromuscular disease. - <i>Conditonal</i> - 4.1 Immunoprophylaxis may be considered for infants who have either significant congenital abnormalities of the airway or a neuromuscular condition that compromises handling of respiratory tract secretions.
Decision Variable	Chronological Age
Decision Variable	Congenital abnormalities of the airway
Decision Variable	Neuromuscular condition
Action	Immunoprophylaxis may be considered
Action	Receive a maximum of 5 doses of palivizumab during the first year of life
Reference	Empty
Reason	Empty
Strength of Recommendation	Empty
Quality of Evidence	Empty
Recommendation	* Criteria 5. Infants and children with CHD: - <i>Conditonal</i> - 5.1 Infants and children with CHD: Children who are 24 months of age or younger with hemodynamically significant cyanotic or acyanotic CHD may benefit from palivizumab prophylaxis.5
Decision Variable	Chronological Age
Decision Variable	CHD
Decision Variable	CHD Medication
Decision Variable	Pulmonary hypertension
Decision Variable	Cyanotic heart disease
Action	may benefit from palivizumab prophylaxis
Reference	Empty
Reason	Empty
Strength of Recommendation	Empty
Quality of Evidence	Empty
Recommendation	* Criteria 5. Infants and children with CHD: - <i>Conditonal</i> - 5.2 After surgical procedures that use cardiopulmonary bypass
Decision Variable	Conditional 5.1
Decision Variable	Surgical procedure that use cardiopulmonary bypass
Action	a postoperative dose of palivizumab (15 mg/kg) should be administered as soon as the patient is medically stable (AI).
Reference	Empty
Reason	a mean decrease in palivizumab serum concentration of 58% was observed after surgical procedures that

	use cardiopulmonary bypass
Strength of Recommendation	Empty
Quality of Evidence	Empty
Recommendation	* Criteria 5. Infants and children with CHD: - <i>Conditonal</i> - 5.3 Infants with CHD not at increased risk
Decision Variable	with hemodynamically insignificant heart disease (eg, secundum atrial septal defect, small ventricular septal defect, pulmonic stenosis, uncomplicated aortic stenosis, mild coarctation of the aorta, and patent ductus arteriosus);
Decision Variable	with lesions adequately corrected by surgery, unless they continue to require medication for congestive heart failure;
Decision Variable	with mild cardiomyopathy who are not receiving medical therapy for the condition.
Action	are not at increased risk of RSV and generally should not receive immunoprophylaxis
Reference	Empty
Reason	Empty
Strength of Recommendation	Empty
Quality of Evidence	Empty
Recommendation	* Criteria 6. Immunocompromised children - <i>Conditonal</i> - 6.1 Immunocompromised
Decision Variable	Severe immunodeficiency
Action	May benefit from prophylaxis
Reference	Empty
Reason	Empty
Strength of Recommendation	Empty
Quality of Evidence	Palivizumab prophylaxis has not been evaluated in randomized trials in immunocompromised children. Although specific recommendations for immunocompromised children cannot be made, infants and young children with severe immunodeficiency (eg, severe combined immunodeficiency or advanced AIDS) may benefit from prophylaxis (CIII).
Recommendation	* Criteria 7. Patients with cystic fibrosis - <i>Imperative</i> - 7.1 A recommendation for routine prophylaxis in patients with cystic fibrosis cannot be made
Action	Empty
Reference	Empty
Reason	Empty
Quality of Evidence	insufficient data exist to determine the effectiveness of palivizumab use in this patient population. ³¹ Giusti R. North American Synagis Prophylaxis survey. <i>Pediatr Pulmonol</i> . 2009;44(1): 96–98
Recommendation	* Criteria 8. Special situations - <i>Conditonal</i> - 8.1) Breakthrough RSV infection
Decision Variable	Qualifies for prophylaxis
Decision Variable	is receiving palivizumab immuno prophylaxis
Decision Variable	Breakthrough RSV infection
Action	Continue until a maximum number of doses have been administered
Action	3 doses have been administered to infants in the 32 weeks' 0 days' through 34 weeks' 6 days' gestational-age group o
Action	Maximum of 5 doses have been administered to infants with CHD, CLD, or preterm birth before 32 weeks' gestation.
Reference	Empty
Reason	This recommendation is based on the observation that infants at high risk may be hospitalized more than once in the same season with RSV lower respiratory tract disease and the fact that more than 1 RSV strain often cocirculates in a community (CIII).
Strength of Recommendation	Empty
Quality of Evidence	Empty
Recommendation	* Criteria 8. Special situations - <i>Conditonal</i> - 8.2) Hospitalized infants who qualify for prophylaxis during the RSV seasons

Decision Variable	Hospitalized
Decision Variable	Onset of RSV
Action	receive the first dose of palivizumab 48 to 72 hours before discharge or promptly after discharge(CIII).
Reference	Empty
Reason	Empty
Strength of Recommendation	Empty
Quality of Evidence	Empty
Recommendation	* Criteria 8. Special situations - <i>Conditonal</i> - 8.3) Hospitalized during course
Decision Variable	is receiving palivizumab immuno prophylaxis
Decision Variable	hospitalized
Decision Variable	date when the next monthly dose is due should receive that dose as scheduled w
Action	eceive that dose as scheduled while they remain in the hospital (AI).
Reference	Empty
Reason	Empty
Strength of Recommendation	Empty
Quality of Evidence	Empty
Recommendation	* Criteria 8. Special situations - <i>Imperative</i> - 8.4) Infection control
Action	RSVisknowntobetranmittedin the hospital setting and to cause serious disease in infants at high risk. Among hospitalized infants, the major means of reducing RSV transmissionisstrictobservance of infection-control practices, including prompt initiation of precautions for RSV-infected infants. ³² If an RSV outbreak occurs .32 If in a high-risk unit (eg, PICU or NICU or stem cell transplantation unit), primary emphasis should be placed on proper infectioncontrolpractices,especiallyhand hygiene. No data exist to support palivizumab use in controlling outbreaks of health care–associateddisease,andpalivizumabuse is not recommended for this purpose (CIII).
Reference	Empty
Reason	Empty
Quality of Evidence	Empty
Recommendation	* Criteria 8. Special situations - <i>Imperative</i> - 8.5) Palivizumab does not interfere with response to vaccines.
Action	Empty
Reference	Empty
Reason	Empty
Quality of Evidence	Empty
(15) Potential benefits and harms	<i>Describe anticipated benefits and potential risks associated with implementation of guideline recommendations.</i>
Health Outcomes	Empty
Cost Analysis	Empty
Description of Harms and Benefits	Empty
Quantification of Harms and Benefits	Empty
Alternative Practices Risks	Empty
(16) Patient preferences	<i>Describe the role of patient preferences when a recommendation involves a substantial element of personal choice or values.</i>
Role of Patient Preferences	Empty
(17) Algorithm	<i>Provide (when appropriate) a graphical description of the stages. and decisions in clinical care described by the guideline.</i>
Algorithm	Empty
Action Steps	Empty
Conditional Steps	Empty

Alternative Steps	Empty
Synchronization Step	Empty
(18) Implementation considerations	<i>Describe anticipated barriers to application of the recommendations. Provide reference to any auxiliary documents for providers or patients that are intended to facilitate implementation. Suggest review criteria for measuring changes in care when the guideline is implemented.</i>
Implementation Plan	Empty
Implementation Strategy	Empty
Supporting Documents	Empty
Patient Resources	Empty
Anticipated Enabler	Empty
Anticipated Barrier	Empty
Quick Reference Guide	Empty
Technical Report	Empty